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Magnetic Dipole and Thermal Radiation Impacts on Stagnation Point Flow of Micropolar Based Nanofluids over a Vertically Stretching Sheet: Finite Element Approach

Shahid Ali Khan 1, Bagh Ali 2*, Chiak Eze 1*, Kwun Ting Lau 1, Liaqat Ali 3*, Jingtan Chen 1 and Jiyun Zhao 1*

Abstract: An analysis for magnetic dipole with stagnation point flow of micropolar nanofluids is modeled for numerical computation subject to thermophoresis, multi buoyancy, injection/suction, and thermal radiation. The partial derivative is involved in physical consideration, which is transformed to format of ordinary differential form with the aid of similarity functions. The variational finite element procedure is harnessed and coded in Matlab script to obtain the numerical solution of the coupled non-linear ordinary differential problem. The fluid temperature, velocity, tiny particles concentration, and vector of micromotion are studied for two case of buoyancy (assisting $0 < \lambda$, and opposing $0 > \lambda$) through finite-element scheme. The velocity shows decline against the rising of ferromagnetic interaction parameter ($\beta$) (assisting $0 < \lambda$ and opposing $0 > \lambda$), while the inverse behaviour is noted in micro rotation profile. Growing the thermo-phoresis and microrotation parameters receded the rate of heat transfer remarkable, and micromotion and fluid velocity enhance directly against buoyancy ratio. Additionally, the rate of couple stress increased against rising of thermal buoyancy ($\lambda$) and boundary concentration ($m$) in assisting case, but opposing case shows inverse behavior. The finite element scheme convergency was tested by changing the mesh size, and also test the validity with available literature.
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1. Introduction

Ferrofluids are the suspension of nanoscale ferromagnetic particles in fluid carrier and magnetized by the influence of magnetic field [1]. In recent years, the investigation on ferromagnetic fluids (FF) has also become interested due to wide application in the field of chemical engineering, bio-medicine, nuclear power plants, robotics, compounds, micro-electro-mechanical, melted metals refinement, pumps, colored stains, X-ray machine, and shock absorbers, etc. [2,3]. In 1965, Stephen [4] pioneered the concept of ferrofluids (FF). This type of hybrid fluids is actually, a suspension of colloidal ferromagnetic particles (10 nm) in a base liquid. In the presence of a magnetic field, these fluids exhibit magnetization to avoid their possible settling. In medical sciences, pain relief is managed with electromechanical devices such as recording procedures and generators are associated with magnet interactions. Furthermore, these fluids are used in enhancing the heat transfer rate. The study of ferromagnetic impacts in a liquid metal was initially considered by Albrecht et al. [5]. Anderson and Valnes [6] presented fundamentals of ferromagnetic fluid flow. Shliomis [7] established the basic analytical models concerning to the motion of ferrofluids. Neuringer and Rosensweig [8] developed a formulation for...
the impact of magnetic body force with a consideration that the magnetic field is parallel to magnetization. Magnetization being temperature dependent, such a thermomagnetic coupling may result in various practical applications of ferrofluids (see [9]).

Fluids with microstructures are micropolar fluids [10]. Stiff randomly oriented particles make up micropolar fluids. Some physical specimens of micropolar flow are liquid crystals, blood flow, bubbly liquids. The basic concepts of micropolar fluid, with some applications in engineering, was firstly described in (1966) by Eringen [11]. From recent decades, the interest of researchers in the theory of micropolar fluids has a significantly enlarged cause of its immense applications in industrial and engineering fields such as polymeric and colloids deferments, liquid crystal, liquid minerals, body fluids, engine lubricants, paint rheology, cervical flow, and thrust bearing technology [12,13]. The steady micropolar based fluid passed through impermeable and permeable sheets was investigated by Hassanien et al. [14]. The heat transfer of micropolar fluid flow was studied by Turkyilmazoglu [15]. The multi slips effects on dynamics of magnetohydrodynamic micropolar based tiny particles subject to heat source were investigated by Sohaib et al. [16].

Several analysts have considered a continuously extending surface to study of boundary layer flow in recent decades because of its numerous applications in engineering and industry [17,18]. Some applications are: paper production, metal-spinning, crystal sheets productions, drawing of plastic films, polymer dispensation of chemical engineering plants, and coating of cable, etc. Crane’s [19] groundbreaking work examined the steady flow through the linearly stretching plate. To study the influence of viscous and suction, Faraz et al. [20] examined the an axisymmetric geometry flow on the shrinking surface. The effects of injection/suction subject to mass and heat transfer over an extending sheet were discussed in Gupta [21]. The point on the surface of object in the field of flow where the fluid is brought at rest by the object is called stagnation point. The magneto hydrodynamics stagnation point flows with heat transfer effects are important in both practice and theory. Some practical applications include liquid crystals, blood flow, the aerodynamics extrusion of plastic sheets, the cooling of an infinite metallic plate in a cooling bath and textile and paper industries [22,23]. Many researchers have deliberated the stagnation point flow over a stretching sheet [24,25]. Chiam [26] studied a two-dimensional stagnation point flow of viscous fluid over a linearly stretching surface. Amjad et al. [27] examined the Casson micropolar based tiny particles flow in the stagnation point zone over a curved surface.

A designed fluid that can be utilized in the advanced technological areas with enormous diffusivity heat capacity is called nanofluid. Nanotechnology has recently piqued the interest of many scientists due to its widespread use in industry as a result of nanosized particles possessing a wide range of chemical and physical properties [28,29]. The tiny particles made by nanomaterials are generally utilized as a coolant in mechanical, industrial, and chemical fields [30], and are utilized in various assembling applications, for example, cooking handling, cool, vehicle radiators, waste heat recovery, refrigeration, and so forth [31]. To begin, Choi [32] introduced the nanofluid in 1995, and he introduce a fluid with tiny particles whose size less than (100nm) diameter. Vehicle cooling, fuel cells, lubricants, heat exchangers, cancer therapy, and micro-electro-mechanical structures are just a few of the applications for heat transfer and nanofluid boundary layer flow in science and engineering [33,34]. As a result, many researchers have experimented with and analyzed the flow and heat transfer physiognomies of nanofluids. Unsteady boundary layer flow of nanofluids through a penetrable shrinking/stretching sheet was observed by Bachok et al. [35]. Wen [36] speaks to the lacking minding of the structure and component of nanofluids and furthermore their applications. The brownian motion of tiny particles enhanced the host fluid heat transfer rate reported by Rasheed et el. [37] and Ali et al. [38]. More work on nanofluid subject to various types of flow geometry is carried out [39–41].

The first aim of the current examination is to research the conduct of magnetic dipole along with the stagnation point flow of micropolar based nanofluid over a vertical stretching surface. Because of the complexity of technological processes, a quest for enhance base fluid thermal efficacy is the rising research zone. The model of nanofluid has created
够容量来满足增长的需求。大多数以前的研究使用简单的流体（水基流体），但存在非牛顿流体，它们在实际中更粘稠。所涉及的参数的展示通过一个精确的讨论，包括了图解的热扩散数，表面摩擦系数，和Sherehdu数。此外，使用Matlab编码，最强大的有限元计算进行了，同时测试了准确性。

2. 数学建模（磁化+）

考虑二维的，稳定的，不可压缩流动，因为一个点定位的表面，流动在x方向上，图象上在OXY坐标系。我们假设一个停滞点流动的2-D不可压缩微流体纳米流体在表面。表面被假定为以速度$\tilde{U}_w = \tilde{a}x$和$\tilde{U}_c(x) = \tilde{c}x$（外部流或自由流）是流体率在远离边界层，假设为热流体沿x方向的$-\nu f(\frac{dT}{dy}) = h_f(T_f - T)$垂直壁。磁体被假定在x距离b，以y轴为圆心的x轴。结构的系统方程被假设于上述假设前提下的边界层评估（见[42,43]）：

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial y} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{u} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x} + \tilde{v} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial y} = \tilde{u}_c \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial x} + \frac{\tilde{\rho}_f}{\tilde{\rho}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_f}{\partial y} (\frac{\mu_f + \kappa}{\tilde{\rho}}) \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\kappa}{\tilde{\rho}} \frac{\partial \tilde{w}}{\partial y},$$

$$\tilde{u} \frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial x} + \tilde{v} \frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial y} + \left( \tilde{u} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_f}{\partial x} + \tilde{v} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_f}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial x} + \tilde{M} = \tilde{D}_T \left( \partial^2 \tilde{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_f}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \tilde{D}_\nu \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{T}}{\partial y^2},$$

$$\tilde{u} \frac{\partial \tilde{C}}{\partial x} + \tilde{v} \frac{\partial \tilde{C}}{\partial y} = \tilde{D}_s \left( \partial^2 \tilde{C} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_f}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \tilde{D}_T \left( \partial^2 \tilde{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_f}{\partial y} \right)^2,$$

其中，$\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$是速度分量在x，y方向，分别，$\tilde{C}, \tilde{T}$是小粒子的体积浓度和温度，分别，$\tilde{D}_B, \tilde{D}_T, \tilde{g}^*, \nu$, $\beta_T, \beta_c$, 是Brownian扩散，热扩散系数，密度，热扩散系数，微-惯性，以及$	ilde{\tau}^* = (\rho c)_f / (\rho c)_f$是纳米材料的热有效容量的热容量比。流体的应变梯度粘度$\gamma = (\mu j + \tilde{\gamma} j) = \mu_f(j + \tilde{j} j)$按照Khan等人。[44]，材料参数被表示为$K = \tilde{\gamma}$. 在情况下，流体的强度是$\rho$, 热扩散$\tilde{\kappa}$, 粘度$\nu$, 微-惯性$j$, 和$\tilde{\omega}$是角速度，分别。热流体的热流强度在[45]中定义为：

$$\tilde{q} = \frac{4}{3} \gamma^* \frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial y}$$
The Roseland mean coefficient of absorption is $K_1$, whereas the Stefan Boltzman constant is $\alpha^*$. Slight temperature variations in a flow are taken into consideration, and thus the Taylor series has been used to neglect higher-order terms; the result is

$$\frac{\partial \hat{q}_r}{\partial y} = -\frac{16\alpha^*\hat{T}^3}{3K_1}$$

The respective boundary parameters of the preceding problem are

$$\hat{u} - \hat{U}_w(x) = \hat{a}x, \quad \hat{v} = \hat{b}x = 0, \quad \hat{\omega} = -m\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial y}, \quad \hat{c}_f\left(\frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial y}\right) = (h_f\hat{T}_f - h_f\hat{T}), \quad \hat{C}_w = \hat{C}_w(x), \quad \text{as} \quad y = 0, \quad \hat{a} \rightarrow \hat{a}_e = \hat{c}x, \quad \hat{\omega} \rightarrow 0, \quad \hat{T} \rightarrow \hat{T}_\infty, \quad \hat{C} \rightarrow \hat{C}_\infty, \quad \text{as} \quad y \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6)$$

where $\hat{T}_f$ denotes temperature and $\hat{C}_w$ represents the nano-particle’s concentration at the surface. $\hat{T}_\infty$ and $\hat{C}_\infty$ are the corresponding ambient values. The $m$ parameter is a boundary constant parameter with a value of $0 \leq m \leq 1$. As $m = 0$, the microelements cannot rotate, $\hat{\omega} = 0$ takes place on the surface, $0.5 = m$ correlates the antisymmetric components of stress tensor vanishing, and $1.0 = m$ coincides turbulent flow of boundary layer. The progression of magnetic ferrofluid is influenced by the magnetic field, because the magnetic dipole with magnetic scalar potential is denoted as (see [46,47]):

$$\Psi = \frac{\gamma^*}{2\pi} \left( \frac{x}{x^2 + (y + b)^2} \right)$$

After that, $\gamma^*$ stand for strength of magnetic field, and magnetic field amplitudes besides the $x$ and $y$-axis are written as:

$$\hat{H}_x = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} = \frac{\gamma^*}{2\pi} \left\{ \left( \frac{x^2 - (y^2 + b^2 + 2yb)}{(x^2 + (y + b)^2)^2} \right) \right\}, \quad (9)$$

$$\hat{H}_y = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} = \frac{\gamma^*}{2\pi} \left\{ \left( \frac{2xy + 2xb}{(x^2 + (y + b)^2)^2} \right) \right\}. \quad (10)$$
Since the magnetic force is proportional to the slope of $\vec{H}$. The corresponding magnitude $H$ of the magnetic field strength is described as follows

$$H^* = \left[\left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y}\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$  \hspace{2cm} (11)

$$\frac{\partial H^*}{\partial x} = -\left(\frac{2x}{(y+b)^2}\right)\gamma^*,$$  \hspace{2cm} (12)

$$\frac{\partial H^*}{\partial y} = -\left(\frac{-2}{(y+b)^2} + \frac{4x^2}{(y+b)^5}\right)\gamma^*.$$  \hspace{2cm} (13)

The conversion of $M$ into magnetization can be assumed temperature as a linear function.

$$M = (T_C - T)\beta^*$$  \hspace{2cm} (14)

for which $\beta^*$ represent the pyromagnetic coefficient constant. In whichever case, the following point is critical for the ferrohydrodynamic partnership event: (i) the temperature of fluid $\tilde{T}$ is specific in comparison to the external magnetic field $T_C$, and (ii) the external magnetic field is different from the bulk. Further, no need to magnetization, once obtained the $T_C$. The physical universality is important in view of the extremely high Curie temperature of iron, which is 1043 K. The following similarity transforms are used to convert Equations (1)–(5) to ordinary differential form [48,49]:

$$\psi(\eta, \zeta) = (\frac{L}{\rho})\eta f(\zeta), \theta(\eta, \zeta) = \frac{T_C - T}{T_C - T_w}, \eta = \sqrt{\frac{\rho x}{\mu}}, \zeta = \sqrt{\frac{\rho y}{\mu}};$$  \hspace{2cm} (15)

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = \tilde{a}x f'(\zeta), \tilde{v} = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = -\sqrt{\tilde{a}v f(\zeta)}, g = \frac{\tilde{a}}{\tilde{a}x} \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{v}}{\tilde{a}}}$$

The developing parameters in Equations (16)–(20) are defined as

$$f(\zeta) = f_w, \frac{df}{d\zeta} = 1, g(\zeta) = -m \frac{d^2 f}{d\zeta^2}, \frac{d\theta}{d\zeta} - C(\theta(\zeta) = -C, \phi(\zeta) = 1, \text{ at } \zeta = 0,$$  \hspace{2cm} (20)

$$\frac{df}{d\zeta} \to R, \phi \to 0, \theta(\zeta) \to 0, \phi(\zeta) \to 0, \text{ as } \zeta \to \infty.$$
\[ K = \frac{k}{\mu'}, Pr = \frac{v}{D_B}, Re_d = \frac{16\kappa^2 P^3}{3k^2 K}, Nb = (\tau D_B \tilde{C}_w - \tau D_B \tilde{C}_\infty) \nu^{-1}, Nt = \frac{\tau D_B (\tilde{T}_w - \tilde{T}_\infty) \nu^{-1}}{\tilde{T}_\infty}, \]

\[ R^2 = \frac{c^2}{\rho^2}, f_w = -\frac{\tilde{v}_w}{\sqrt{\tilde{V}}}, C = -\frac{h_f}{\kappa_f} \sqrt{\frac{V}{\tilde{A}}}, G_{rt} = \frac{8\rho_f \tilde{v}_1 (1 - \tilde{C}_\infty) (\tilde{T}_w - \tilde{T}_\infty) x^3}{\nu^2}, Ra_f = \frac{\tilde{C}_w}{\tilde{T}_w}, \gamma = \frac{d}{\sqrt{\mu}}, \]

The terms for skin friction coefficient, Nusselt number, and the Sherwood number are as follows

\[ \tilde{C}_f = \frac{x q_w}{\mu U^2_{w}/2}, Nu = \frac{x q_w}{\kappa (\tilde{T}_w - \tilde{T}_\infty)}, Shr = \frac{x q_m}{\tilde{D}_B (\tilde{C}_w - \tilde{C}_\infty)}. \] (21)

From which the skin friction tensor at the wall is \( \tau_w = \left[ \kappa \omega + (\mu + \kappa) \tilde{\mathbf{I}} \right]_{y=0} \), at the wall, the heat fluxion is \( q_w = \left[ (\kappa + \frac{16\kappa P^3}{3\kappa}) \frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial y} \right]_{y=0} \), and the fluxion of mass from the surface \( q_m = \left( \tilde{D}_B \frac{\partial \tilde{C}}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0} \). With the help of Equation (10), the following can be obtained

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \tilde{C}_f Re_x^2 &= (1 + (1 - m) K) f''(0), \\
Re_x \frac{1}{\nu} Nu &= -(Rd + 1) \theta'(0), \\
Re_x \frac{1}{\nu} Shr &= -\phi'(0).
\end{align*} \] (22)

3. Numerical Procedure

The finite-element technique is notable to address different kinds of differential equation. This technique include continuous piecewise approximation, which minimize the size of error [50]. The crucial steps and an extraordinary portrayal of this strategy sketched out by jyothi [51] and Reddy [52]. This technique is very productive tool described by the experts and scientists to examine the complicated problems of engineering because of its simplicity and accuracy [53–55]. To solve the Equations (16)–(19) along boundary Condition (20), we first consider:

\[ \frac{df}{d\xi} = h, \] (23)

Using Equation (23), Equations (16)–(20) are converted to (24) to (28), and are given as
\[
\begin{align*}
(K + 1) \frac{d^2 h}{d \xi^2} + f \frac{dh}{d \xi} & - h^2 + K \frac{dg}{d \xi} - \frac{2 \beta \theta_1}{(\xi + \gamma)^4} \pm \lambda \left( \theta + N \nu \phi \right) + R^2 = 0, \\
\left(1 + \frac{K}{2} \right) \frac{d^2 g}{d \xi^2} + f \frac{dg}{d \xi} & - gh - K \left( 2g + \frac{dh}{d \xi} \right) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]
\[\int_{\bar{\xi}_a}^{\bar{\xi}_{a+1}} \frac{1}{Pr} (1 + R_d) \frac{d^2 \theta}{d \xi^2} + f \frac{d\theta}{d \xi} + Nb \frac{d\theta}{d \xi} + Ni \left( \frac{d\theta}{d \xi} \right)^2 + \frac{2 \lambda_1 \beta (\theta_1 - \epsilon) f}{Pr (\xi + \gamma)^3} = 0,
\]
\[\frac{d^2 \phi}{d \xi^2} + \frac{d \phi}{d \xi} + Nb \frac{d \phi}{d \xi} + \frac{d \phi}{d \xi} = 0,
\]
\[h(\xi) = 1, f(\xi) - f_0 = 0, g(\xi) + (m) \frac{dh}{d \xi} = 0, \phi(\xi) = 1.0, \frac{d \theta}{d \xi} = -(C + C\theta(\xi)), \text{ at } \xi = 0.0, \]
\[h \to R, g \to 0.0, \theta(\xi) \to 0.0, \phi(\xi) \to 0.0, \text{ as } \xi \to \infty.
\]

The variational forms of Equations (23)–(27) subject to linear-element \( \Omega_\xi = (\bar{\xi}_a, \bar{\xi}_{a+1}) \) are given below:
\[\int_{\bar{\xi}_a}^{\bar{\xi}_{a+1}} \bar{w}_1 \left( \frac{df}{d \xi} - h \right) d\xi = 0,
\]
\[\int_{\bar{\xi}_a}^{\bar{\xi}_{a+1}} \bar{w}_2 \left( (K + 1) \frac{d^2 h}{d \xi^2} + f \frac{dh}{d \xi} - h^2 + K \frac{dg}{d \xi} - \frac{2 \beta \theta_1}{(\xi + \gamma)^4} \pm \lambda \left( \theta + N \nu \phi + R \gamma \right) + R^2 \right) d\xi = 0,
\]
\[\int_{\bar{\xi}_a}^{\bar{\xi}_{a+1}} \bar{w}_3 \left( \left(1 + \frac{K}{2} \right) \frac{d^2 g}{d \xi^2} + f \frac{dg}{d \xi} - gh - K \left( 2g + \frac{dh}{d \xi} \right) \right) d\xi = 0,
\]
\[\int_{\bar{\xi}_a}^{\bar{\xi}_{a+1}} \bar{w}_4 \left( \frac{1}{Pr} (1 + R_d) \frac{d^2 \theta}{d \xi^2} + f \frac{d\theta}{d \xi} + Nb \frac{d\theta}{d \xi} + Ni \left( \frac{d\theta}{d \xi} \right)^2 + \frac{2 \lambda_1 \beta (\theta_1 - \epsilon) f}{Pr (\xi + \gamma)^3} \right) d\xi = 0,
\]
\[\int_{\bar{\xi}_a}^{\bar{\xi}_{a+1}} \bar{w}_5 \left( \frac{d^2 \phi}{d \xi^2} + \frac{d \phi}{d \xi} + Nb \frac{d \phi}{d \xi} + \frac{d \phi}{d \xi} \right) d\xi = 0.
\]

Here, \( \bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \bar{w}_3, \bar{w}_4, \) and \( \bar{w}_5 \) are test functions. The associate approximations of finite-element are
\[f = \sum_{j=1}^{p} f_j \psi_j, \quad h = \sum_{j=1}^{p} h_j \psi_j, \quad \frac{dh}{d \xi} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{dh}{d \xi} \psi_j, \quad \frac{d \theta}{d \xi} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{d \theta}{d \xi} \psi_j, \quad \frac{d \phi}{d \xi} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{d \phi}{d \xi} \psi_j.
\]

with \( \psi_1 = \bar{\psi}_2 = \bar{\psi}_3 = \bar{\psi}_4 = \bar{\psi}_5 = \psi_j (j = 1, 2), \) here, \( \psi_j (j = 1, 2) \) are the linear-interpolation functions and are given by
\[\psi_1 = \frac{\bar{\xi}_{a+1} - \xi}{\bar{\xi}_{a+1} - \bar{\xi}_a}, \quad \psi_2 = \frac{\xi - \bar{\xi}_a}{\bar{\xi}_{a+1} - \bar{\xi}_a}, \quad \bar{\xi}_a \leq \xi \leq \bar{\xi}_{a+1}.
\]

The model of finite elements of the equations thus developed is given by
\[\begin{bmatrix}
[\hat{W}]_{11} & [\hat{W}]_{12} & [\hat{W}]_{13} & [\hat{W}]_{14} & [\hat{W}]_{15} \\
[\hat{W}]_{21} & [\hat{W}]_{22} & [\hat{W}]_{23} & [\hat{W}]_{24} & [\hat{W}]_{25} \\
[\hat{W}]_{31} & [\hat{W}]_{32} & [\hat{W}]_{33} & [\hat{W}]_{34} & [\hat{W}]_{35} \\
[\hat{W}]_{41} & [\hat{W}]_{42} & [\hat{W}]_{43} & [\hat{W}]_{44} & [\hat{W}]_{45} \\
[\hat{W}]_{51} & [\hat{W}]_{52} & [\hat{W}]_{53} & [\hat{W}]_{54} & [\hat{W}]_{55}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
[f] \\
[h] \\
[g] \\
[\theta] \\
[\psi]
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
[b_1] \\
[b_2] \\
[b_3] \\
[b_4] \\
[b_5]
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \([\hat{W}_{mn}]\) and \([b_m])\) \( (m, n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \) are defined as:
Where the known values taken into account are $\bar{n}$, and observe no further variation after 300 and 400, so the final results are computed at excellent relationship between already existing outcomes. In the absence of all involved Equations (16)–(20). To approve the present numerical technique Matlab code, an extensive comparison of results is made, as showed in Table 2–4, and are found to show an excellent relationship between already existing outcomes. In the absence of all involved

where the known values taken into account are $\bar{f} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} f_j \psi_j, \bar{b} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} b_j \psi_j, \bar{\theta} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \theta_j \psi_j$, and $\bar{\theta}' = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \bar{\theta}'_j \psi_j$. The whole domain ($\xi = 10$) is alienated into equal linear size elements (n), and observe no further variation after 300 and 400, so the final results are computed at 300 linear elements (see Table 1). Five functions were evaluated at each node, acquiring 1505 × 1505 non-linear equations after assembly, so the Gaussian quadrature method is used to solve the integration, attaining an accuracy level of $10^{-5}$.

**Table 1.** The finite element scheme convergence against a number of elements (n), when $R = 1, Pr = 2, C = 0.5, Nb = 0.5, Nt = 0.5, Le = 2, K = \gamma = 1.0, \lambda = 1, \lambda_1 = 0.1, \beta = 0.2, \epsilon = 0.5, Nr = 1, m = 0.5, f_w = 0.2, Rd = 0.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>$f(3)$</th>
<th>$h(3)$</th>
<th>$g(3)$</th>
<th>$\theta(3)$</th>
<th>$\phi(3)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.746747</td>
<td>1.028292</td>
<td>0.002454</td>
<td>0.036199</td>
<td>0.017874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.746657</td>
<td>1.028291</td>
<td>0.002451</td>
<td>0.036194</td>
<td>0.017859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.746611</td>
<td>1.028289</td>
<td>0.002453</td>
<td>0.036191</td>
<td>0.017857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>1.746598</td>
<td>1.028289</td>
<td>0.002453</td>
<td>0.036191</td>
<td>0.017857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.746592</td>
<td>1.028289</td>
<td>0.002453</td>
<td>0.036190</td>
<td>0.017854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.746588</td>
<td>1.028289</td>
<td>0.002453</td>
<td>0.036190</td>
<td>0.017854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>1.746587</td>
<td>1.028289</td>
<td>0.002453</td>
<td>0.036190</td>
<td>0.017854</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Results and Discussion

In present report, the calculations have been achieved for micro-rotation, temperature, velocity, and tiny particles concentration distributions for involved parameters in Equations (16)–(20). To approve the present numerical technique Matlab code, an extensive comparison of results is made, as showed in Table 2–4, and are found to show an excellent relationship between already existing outcomes. In the absence of all involved
Table 3. Comparison of $C_f R_{x}^{1/2}$ and $N\mu Re_{x}^{1/2}$ for various values of $R$ and $K$, when $Pr = 1.5$ and not considering other involved parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>Ref. [57]</th>
<th>Ref. [60]</th>
<th>Ref. [61]</th>
<th>FEM (Our Results)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.777</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.7602798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.000000</td>
<td>-1.000172</td>
<td>-0.8217714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.621225</td>
<td>-1.621938</td>
<td>-0.8495453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.004133</td>
<td>-2.007341</td>
<td>-0.8781049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Figure 2a demonstrates the influence of $f_w$ (suction/injection) on $f'(\xi)$ (flow velocity). It can be seen that velocity of fluid rises against growing input of injection for the case of buoyancy assisting flow, but it recede against rising in suction. However, for opposing flow situation, an inverse behaviour is noted against higher input of $f_w$ (suction/injection). A similar curves for $g(\xi)$ (microrotation) are obtained against growing value of $f_w$, opposing /assisting flow has similar behaviour to that the velocity as demonstrated in
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Figure 2b. Further, the conversion in velocity distribution is more prominent than that of microrotation micro-rotation. The impacts of microrotation concentration parameter $m$ on velocity, and microrotation is exposed in Figure 3a,b. It can be visualized that for a steady increase of $m$, in the boundary layer region, the fluid velocity becomes faster (in case of $\lambda > 0$), but slows down (in a case $\lambda < 0$). How the magnitude of micro-rotation exhibit directly proportional behaviour with a variation of $m$ for both cases of $\lambda (\lambda > 0$ or $\lambda < 0$). However, reversal spring is noticed for $\lambda < 0$ viz-a-viz $\lambda > 0$. Figure 4a,b discloses that the elevation in the value of buoyancy parameter ($\lambda > 0$ or $\lambda < 0$) marked similar influence on $f'(\xi)$, and $g(\xi)$ as the parameter $m$ did on these quantities and described with reference of Figure 3a,b above. Physically, growing values of $\lambda$ provided larger buoyancy force, which discloses the kinetic energy at the extreme level. Consequently, resistance produce in the direction of flow because of kinetic energy. The opposite trend take place in microrotation $(g(\xi))$ via incremented $\lambda$. The growing input of $\lambda$ exhibits the rising behaviour for opposing flow, and diminishing trend for assisting flow (see Figure 4b).

Figure 5a,b demonstrate the patterns of $f'(\xi)$ and $g(\xi)$ when the $Nr$ is increasingly varied. The observation reveals that flow is accelerated in the boundary layer when $\lambda > 0$, but retarded when $\lambda < 0$, and the magnitude of micro-rotation is directly enhanced with $Nr$. One of the main interests of this work is to highlight the role of the magnet dipole, which is represented by parameter $\beta$ in Figure 6a,b. As expected in the graphical pattern, the fluid velocity is significantly reduced for $\beta (\lambda < 0$ and $\lambda > 0$). The reason for this is that the magnet dipole adds to the strength of the applied magnetic field. The strong interaction of magnetic and electric fields results in the increase in Lorentz force, which delivers resistance to the flow (see Figure 6a). In part of Figure 6b one can notice that increments in $\beta$ lead to a rise in micro-rotation $g(\xi)$ for $\lambda < 0$, but this recedes for $\lambda > 0$.

Regarding their physical nature, the rising strength of $Pr$ (Prandtl number) responds to medium thermal diffusivity. A reduction in temperature function and nanoparticle concentration distribution with the incremented value of $Pr$ is shown in Figure 7a,b. The thermal diffusivity intensifies with increasing of $Pr$ values; as a consequence, the $\theta(\xi)$ and $\phi(\xi)$ functions exhibit a reduction. The responses of the temperature function and the concentration distribution to the increasing Brownian motion $Nb$ values are respectively shown in Figure 8a,b. It can be seen that the curve in $\theta(\xi)$ rises notably for both the of ($\lambda > 0$ or $\lambda < 0$) prospects, but the $\phi(\xi)$ curve is declined in these circumstances. The random motion of tiny particles is incremented because of Brownian motion, which enhances $\theta(\xi)$, and recedes the $\phi(\xi)$. Figure 9a,b is demonstrates the incremental trends and with steady increase in the thermophoresis parameter $Nt$. Physically, thermophoresis ($Nt$) exert force over neighbouring t inparticles; the force changes the tiny particles from a hotter zone to a colder zone. Hence, $\theta(\xi)$ and $\phi(\xi)$ incremented because it exceeds $Nt$. The Biot number symbolized by $C$ has had a similar impact as the thermophoresis parameter. The graphical outcomes for this aspect of the study are depicted in Figure 10a,b. The exceeding values of radiation $Rd$ signify the increment in thermal transportation in the fluid. Figure 11a shows that the rise in temperature function and thermal boundary layers becomes wider with higher $Rd$ values, but a meager reduction in nanoparticle volume fraction is plotted in Figure 11b.

The deviation in Sherwood and Nusselt numbers for different input of the $Nt$ and $K$ is investigated individually in Figure 12a,b. It is clearly seen that $0 < \lambda$ and $0 > \lambda$ reduces steadily the Nusselt number via the larger $Nt$, and $K$ (microrotation parameter). Nonetheless, the mass gradient (Sherwood number) denoted an expanding pattern against the growing of $K$, however it exhibits a diminishing behaviour versus $Nt$. Figure 13a,b individually portray the Nusselt and Sherwood numbers as affected by $\lambda$, and $K$. From this sketch, it is clearly observed that $\lambda > 0$ (buoyancy assisting flow), the Sherwood and Nusselt numbers demonstrate a decline against exceeding of $K$. Figure 14a portrays the skin friction factor against higher estimation of $\lambda$ and $K$. From this sketch designs, one can be seen that assisting case ($\lambda > 0$), the exceeding input of $K$ responsible of growing skin friction factor, and an opposite trend is seen against $\lambda < 0$. Similarly, Figure 14b
demonstrates the similar behaviour of couple stress against higher input of buoyancy parameter $\lambda$ and microrotation concentration parameter ($m$).

Figure 2. Fluctuation of $f'(\xi)$ and $g(\xi)$ against injection/suction ($f_w$).

Figure 3. Fluctuation of $f'(\xi)$ and $g(\xi)$ against microrotation concentration ($m$).

Figure 4. Fluctuation of $f'(\xi)$ and $g(\xi)$ against thermal buoyancy ($\lambda$).
Figure 5. Fluctuation of $f'(\xi)$ and $g(\xi)$ against buoyancy ratio ($Nr$).

Figure 6. Fluctuation of $f'(\xi)$ and $g(\xi)$ against ferrohydrodynamic interaction ($\beta$).

Figure 7. Fluctuation of $\theta(\xi)$ and $\phi(\xi)$ against Prandtl number ($Pr$).
Figure 8. Fluctuation of $\theta(\xi)$ and $\phi(\xi)$ against Brownian motion ($Nb$).

Figure 9. Fluctuation of $\theta(\xi)$ and $\phi(\xi)$ against thermophoresis ($Nt$).

Figure 10. Fluctuation of $\theta(\xi)$ and $\phi(\xi)$ against Biot number ($C$).
Figure 11. Fluctuation of $\theta(\xi)$ and $\phi(\xi)$ against radiation ($Rd$).

Figure 12. Fluctuation of $\text{Nu}_x^{-1/2}$ and $\text{ShrRe}_x^{-1/2}$ against material parameter ($K$) and thermophoresis ($Nt$).

Figure 13. Fluctuation of $\text{Nu}_x^{-1/2}$ and $\text{ShrRe}_x^{-1/2}$ against material parameter ($K$) and thermal buoyancy ($\lambda$).
5. Conclusions

The impacts of magnetic dipole and multiple buoyancy on micropolar fluid subject to tiny particles over a vertical extending surface are studied numerically by the Galerkin technique using the finite element approach. The remarkable findings are mentioned below:

- The velocity decelerate against the exceeding of ferromagnetic interaction parameter $\beta$ in both cases (opposing and assisting), while an opposite behavior is noted in micro rotation $g(\xi)$ profile.
- The micro rotation $g(\xi)$ and velocity $f'(\xi)$ enhance against the rising of microrotation concentration $(m)$, injection $(f_w)$, and buoyancy forces($Rb, \lambda, Nr$) parameters in assisting case, but the inverse behaviour is reported in opposing case.
- The microrotation and velocity reduce along growing of micropolar material, and suction $(f_w)$ parameters in case of assisting, but opposite phenomena is seen in case of opposing.
- The distribution of temperature shows a rising along the growing of the Brownian motion, thermophoresis, Biot number, and radiation parameters, while the temperature declined with the elevation of Prandtl number, and rate of heat transfer is lower in assisting case.
- The tiny particles concentration distribution $\phi(\xi)$ demonstrates a decrease along the raising of Prandtl number, and Brownian motion, while the non-dimensional concentration enhance with upgrading of radiation, Biot number, and thermophoresis parameters. Moreover, it is noted that the impact of opposing case on the non-dimensional concentration profile is high as compared to assisting case.
- The Sherwood and Nusselt numbers coefficient rate become smaller against higher $K$ in assisting case, but opposing case exhibit inverse trend, and decreased by mean of rising $\lambda$ in opposing case, but reverse phenomena is reported in assisting case.
- An increase in thermophoresis and material parameters, decline in Nusselt number is noted, and Sherwood number show an opposite affects along elevation of $K$.
- The skin friction factor rise, by mean of growing $\lambda$ and $K$ in assisting case, but opposing case shows inverse behavior. Additionally, the rate of couple stress increased against rising of $\lambda$ and $m$ in assisting case, but opposing case shows inverse behavior.
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Nomenclature

\( T \) non-dimensional temperature
\( \overline{T}_c \) curie temperature
\( \overline{C} \) non-dimensional nanoparticles concentration
\( \overline{C}_w \) Concentration at surface
\( \omega \) micro-rotation
\( g \) gravitational acceleration
\( T_\infty \) temperature away from the surface
\( U_w \) velocity of stretching sheet
\( \overline{C}_\infty \) concentration away from the surface
\( \overline{u}_e \) free stream
\( \overline{C}_f \) skin friction
\( (\overline{u}, \overline{v}) \) Velocity components
\( Nu \) Nusselt number
\( \mu_f \) dynamic viscosity
\( Shr \) Sherwood number
\( \kappa \) vortex viscosity,
\( Nb \) Brownian motion parameter
\( \gamma \) spin gradient viscosity
\( Nt \) thermophoresis parameter
\( \rho \) Density of fluid
\( \overline{D}_T \) Thermophoretic diffusion coefficient
\( \overline{D}_B \) Brownian diffusion coefficient
\( \rho Cp \) Base fluid heat capacity
\( \bar{a} \) thermal diffusivity
\( j \) micro-inertia
\( \beta_t \) coefficient of thermal expansion
\( \beta_c \) coefficient of nanoparticle volumetric
\( \alpha^* \) Stefan Boltzman constant
\( b \) distance
\( \beta^* \) pyromagnetic coefficient
\( \beta \) ferrohydrodynamic interaction variable
\( \lambda \) mixed convection variable
\( \epsilon \) dimensionless Curie temperature
\( Pr \) Prandtl number
\( f_w \) suction/injection
\( Rd \) radiation variable
\( Le \) Lewis number
\( R^2 \) constant
\( \lambda_1 \) Eckert number
\( C \) Biot number
\( Ra_s \) local Renolds number
\( K \) material parameter.
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