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GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

PUBLIC SUMMARY

- The plastisphere selectively assembles a microbiome distinct from that of natural habitats.
- New microbial coexistence patterns are yielded in the plastisphere.
- Altered microbial functions in the plastisphere threaten natural ecosystem functioning.
- Enrichment of pathogens in the plastisphere poses a critical challenge to “One Health”.
Plastic offers a new niche for microorganisms, the plastisphere. The ever-increasing emission of plastic waste makes it critical to understand the microbial ecology of the plastisphere and associated effects. Here, we present a global fingerprint of the plastisphere, analyzing samples collected from freshwater, seawater, and terrestrial ecosystems. The plastisphere assembles a distinct microbial community that has a clearly higher heterogeneity and a more deterministically dominated assembly compared to natural habitats. New coexistence patterns—loose and fragile networks with mostly specialist linkages among microorganisms that are rarely found in natural habitats—are seen in the plastisphere. Plastisphere microbiomes generally have a great potential to metabolize organic compounds, which could accelerate carbon turnover. Microorganisms involved in the nitrogen cycle are also altered in the plastisphere, especially in freshwater plastispheres, where a high abundance of denitrifiers may increase the release of nitrite (aquatic toxicant) and nitrous oxide (greenhouse gas). Enrichment of animal, plant, and human pathogens means that the plastisphere could become an increasingly mobile reservoir of harmful microorganisms. Our findings highlight that if the trajectory of plastic emissions is not reversed, the expanding plastisphere could pose critical planetary health challenges.

**INTRODUCTION**

Plastic is a ubiquitous aspect of human life and is a marker of the present, new geological era—the Anthropocene.\(^1\) The large-scale production, use, and disposal of plastics mean that plastic pollution has become one of the most problematic global environmental issues.\(^2\) Global plastic production has climbed from 1.5 million tons in 1960 to more than 390 million tons in 2021.\(^6\) Plastic products generated approximately 6,300 million tons of waste between 1950 and 2015.\(^5\) Only a minority of plastic waste can be recycled or incinerated, whereas the vast majority (approximately 80%) ends up in landfills or the natural environment.\(^7\) For example, the accumulation of plastic in the Pacific Ocean has created the infamous Great Pacific Garbage Patch, which is three times the size of France and is still expanding.\(^8\) However, the size of individual plastic particles can be small enough to reenter the food chain, as shown by the presence of microplastics in plants,\(^9\) animals,\(^10\) human feces,\(^14\) and even human placentas.\(^16\) The amount of plastic waste in the environment will continue to increase due to the unabated production of plastic and its poor degradability.\(^3\) As estimated, emissions of plastic waste may reach 12,000 million tons by 2050 if disposal is not effectively controlled.\(^7\) By that time, the weight of plastic waste in the seas will far exceed the collective weight of fish, and 99% of seabird species will be tainted with plastic.\(^18\)

Plastics are a persistent, inert, hydrophobic, organic, and long-distance transportable substrate that can be colonized by microorganisms.\(^19\) The resultant ecological system, characterized by diverse microorganisms thriving within the plastic matrix, is commonly known as the ‘plastisphere’.\(^19\) The magnitude of plastic waste means that it can harbor significant microbial biomass.\(^19\) Using marine plastic debris as an example, the biomass on 1 g of marine plastic debris can be nearly an order of magnitude higher than the microbial biomass in 1,000 L of open-ocean seawater.\(^27\) It has been estimated that marine plastic debris harbors between 0.01% and 0.2% of the total microbial biomass in the open ocean.\(^19\) Because we can only account for approximately 1% of the plastic waste released into the ocean, the microbial biomass harbored by the plastisphere could be orders of magnitude larger.\(^19\)

The increasing emission and intractability of plastic waste will lead to a continuous expansion of the plastisphere and a consequent increase in the microbial biomass that it harbors. Microorganisms control many elemental cycles and can affect the health of environments, animals, and humans.\(^25\) Due to the increasing area of the plastisphere, its significant microbial biomass, and mobility in the environment,\(^26\) it is imperative to explore the microbial ecology of this new habitat and its effects on the functioning of ecosystems. Furthermore, increased microbial exposure, via entry of plastic debris into the food chain,\(^26\) poses a threat to biological safety and human health. Therefore, elucidating the microbial ecology in the plastisphere is central to predicting and managing the risks posed by plastic pollution, contributing to achieving the “One Health” goal.\(^30\)

However, individual research programs may not be sufficient to generate a synoptic view of microbial ecology in the plastisphere. A more generalized understanding is required to determine how this newly expanding habitat assembles characteristic microbiomes, and the associated functional implications for ecosystem services, biosecurity, and human health. By combining our field-collected samples with publicly available raw sequences, we constructed a global dataset of plastisphere communities, covering freshwater, seawater, and terrestrial ecosystems. We analyzed the distinctiveness of the plastisphere microbiome in terms of community structure, assembly mechanisms, coexistence patterns, ecologically relevant functions, and potential pathogenic risks, and revealed the resulting ecological threats. Using our macrogenomic data, we validated the results of global sample-based microbial function prediction. Plastic is one representative of man-made surfaces, and plastic pollution is one of the most important ways by which humans exert an impact on planetary health.\(^3\)

Therefore, an effort was made in this study to reveal the ecology of the plastisphere and the associated effects inherent in plastic pollution, broadening our understanding of human effects on the natural world.

**RESULTS**

The plastisphere harbors distinct microbial assemblages

After strict data screening, we obtained a final total of 1,013 microbial samples collected from the plastisphere and its associated natural environment (water or soil) and used these to investigate plastisphere ecology in freshwater, seawater, and terrestrial ecosystems (Figure 1A; Tables S1–S3). Rarefaction analyses (Figure S1) showed that the number of samples...
in our study was sufficient to capture the majority of microorganisms in the plastisphere and in the corresponding natural environment of each ecosystem. Canonical correspondence analysis was carried out to identify the important drivers of the structure of the global microbial meta-community, and we found that the ecosystem identity was the strongest driver, followed by the carrier identity (i.e., the plastisphere or natural environments), and then latitude and study identification (representing study-specific factors such as different methods applied in different studies) (Figure S2). The unconstrained principal-coordinate analysis (PCoA) with permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) further showed that the plastisphere community was significantly distinct from the natural environment in freshwater, seawater, and terrestrial ecosystems. Each circle or triangle represents a single genus. An upward and filled triangle represent a genus significantly enriched in the plastisphere, a downward and empty triangle represents a genus significantly enriched in the natural environment, and a circle represents a genus with nonsignificant difference between the plastisphere and the natural environment (p < 0.05; Wilcoxon rank-sum test). (E) A source analysis of microorganisms in the plastisphere based on the FEAST tool revealing that the corresponding natural environment contributes the largest part, but only a subset, of the sources of microorganisms in the plastisphere. (F) Comparison of habitat niche breadths between the plastisphere and the natural environment in each ecosystem (***p < 0.001; Wilcoxon rank-sum test). (G) The MST of the plastisphere and the natural environment in each ecosystem (***p < 0.001; Wilcoxon rank-sum test). A higher MST value represents a more stochastic assembly, with 0.5 defining the boundary between a deterministic (MST < 0.5) and stochastic (MST > 0.5)-dominated assembly.

Figure 1. A distinct community assembles in the plastisphere from natural environments (A) Sources of the plastisphere and natural environment samples from freshwater, seawater, and terrestrial ecosystems that were analyzed in this study. (B) Unconstrained PCoA with PERMANOVA showing that the plastisphere has a distinct microbial community from that of the natural environment (PERMANOVA: ***p < 0.001). (C) Comparisons of β-diversity between the community in the plastisphere and that of the natural environment (***p < 0.001; Wilcoxon rank-sum test). (D) Manhattan plots showing genera with significant differences between the plastisphere and the natural environment in freshwater, seawater, and terrestrial ecosystems. Each circle or triangle represents a single genus. An upward and filled triangle represent a genus significantly enriched in the plastisphere, a downward and empty triangle represents a genus significantly enriched in the natural environment, and a circle represents a genus with nonsignificant difference between the plastisphere and the natural environment (p < 0.05; Wilcoxon rank-sum test). (E) A source analysis of microorganisms in the plastisphere based on the FEAST tool revealing that the corresponding natural environment contributes the largest part, but only a subset, of the sources of microorganisms in the plastisphere. (F) Comparison of habitat niche breadths between the plastisphere and the natural environment in each ecosystem (***p < 0.001; Wilcoxon rank-sum test). (G) The MST of the plastisphere and the natural environment in each ecosystem (***p < 0.001; Wilcoxon rank-sum test). A higher MST value represents a more stochastic assembly, with 0.5 defining the boundary between a deterministic (MST < 0.5) and stochastic (MST > 0.5)-dominated assembly.
natural environment (Figure S3). The above results suggest that understanding the microbial ecology in the plastisphere should be studied specifically in its corresponding ecosystem. More importantly, although samples in different studies may be collected from different geographical locations in different seasons, and using different research methods, plastisphere samples and environmental samples still tend to form two separate clusters, demonstrating the fundamental differences between the plastisphere and natural habitats. Although the aim of our study was to understand the uniqueness and the associated risks of the plastisphere as a newly expanding habitat by analyzing its difference with natural habitats, we also tested the compositional difference between the plastisphere microbiome and other natural or unnatural biofilms (e.g., glass, natural ses- ton, plant leaves) to further illustrate the distinctiveness of the plastisphere. Results showed that significant compositional differences existed between the plastisphere microbiome and other biofilms, indicating that the plastisphere was indeed a unique ecological niche for microorganisms (see supplemental information for details: Result S1; Figure S4; and Tables S4 and S5).

Similarities in community composition decreased significantly with increasing geographic distance (Figure S5A), indicating that the microbial community in the plastisphere followed a distance-decay pattern. Compared to the natural environments, the microbial communities in the plastisphere had significantly higher β-diversity (i.e., significantly lower similarity; Figures 1C and S5B).

Members of Gammaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, and Alphaproteobacteria were prevalent within the freshwater plastisphere; members of Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, and Bacteroidetes were highly abundant in the seawater plastisphere; and members of Actinobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, and Gammaproteobacteria comprised the majority of the terrestrial plastisphere community (Figures 1D, S6, and S7; Table S6). Most of the taxa exhibited significant differences in relative abundance between the plastisphere and the natural environment (Figures 1D and S8). In the freshwater ecosystem, compared to the natural environment, the plastisphere had a significantly higher abundance of Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, and Firmicutes, and a lower abundance of Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia (Figures 1D and S6A; Table S6). In the seawater ecosystem, the abundance of Gammaproteobacteria, Firmicutes, Acidobacteria, and Planctomycetes was higher, whereas that of Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia was lower in the plastisphere than in the natural environment (Figures 1D and S6B; Table S6). Moreover, in the terrestrial ecosystem, the abundance of Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia was higher, whereas that of Firmicutes, Acidobacteria, and Planctomycetes was lower in the plastisphere (Figures 1D and S8C; Table S6). There were 478 genera commonly present in the plastisphere across the 3 ecosystems, and the number of unique genera in the plastisphere of each ecosystem ranged from 86 to 195 (Figure S5H). However, only 26 genera were commonly enriched in the plastisphere across the 3 ecosystems, whereas 134–194 genera were enriched specifically in the plastisphere of each ecosystem (Figure S10), indicating that the plastisphere may play a different role in different ecosystems.

Using a random-forest classification model, we identified a group of biomarker taxa in the plastisphere in each ecosystem (see supplemental information for details: Result S2; Figures S11–S14; and Tables S7–S9). These biomarker taxa represented the most distinct differences in the taxonomic structure between the plastisphere and their corresponding natural habitats. Using these biomarker taxa could distinguish the plastisphere from the natural environment with high accuracy, which once again illustrates a fundamental difference between the plastisphere and natural habitats.

An analysis using the fast expectation maximization for microbial source tracking (FEAST) tool37 was carried out to quantify the effects of environmental and plastisphere environments on the plastisphere community. The results showed that for all three studied ecosystems, the surrounding environment was the most important source of microorganisms, but it contributed only a subset of the residents of the plastisphere (Figures 1E and S15; Table S10), indicating the sheltering effect of the plastisphere and its ability to raft microorganisms in long-distance, cross-ecosystem transport.

The community-level niche breadth and the modified stochastic ratio (MST) were calculated to reveal the underlying mechanism for community assembly in the plastisphere. The ecological niche breadth was significantly lower in the plastisphere compared to that of the natural environment in all three ecosystems (Figure 1F; Table S11), indicating that microorganisms in the plastisphere were subject to more environmental filtering. The MST model further revealed that the assembly process of the plastisphere community was dominated by deterministic (MST <0.5), and stochastic factors played a much less important role in plastisphere community assembly than did microbial community assembly in the corresponding natural environment across all three ecosystems (Figure 1G; Table S12).

The plastisphere yields new patterns of coexistence

To explore the dominant factors driving global microbial cooccurrence patterns, we constructed a global ecological meta-network and found that, consistent with the findings in the structure of the global microbial meta-community, the cooccurrence pattern of the global microbial meta-community was also dominated by ecosystem identity (see supplemental information for details: Result S3; Figures S16 and S17; Tables S13–S15).

Therefore, we further constructed ecological subnetworks in each ecosystem to compare microbial cooccurrence patterns between the plastisphere and the natural environment (Figure 2A; Tables S16 and S17). All of the networks presented nonrandom and scale-free features ($R^2$ of the power law ranging from 0.854 to 0.982; Figure S18). Indexes characterizing the complexity of ecological networks, the number of links, the connectance, the average degree, and the natural connectivity showed a clearly lower level in the plastisphere than in natural environments (Figure 2B, Table S18). By randomly removing a percentage of the nodes, simulating species extinction, we tested the stability of the networks and found that the microbial networks in the plastisphere were consistently less robust than those in the natural environment (Figure 2C; Table S19).

In addition to the topological properties, we found that the nodes supporting the networks in the plastisphere were largely different from those supporting the networks in the natural environment (Figure 2D). Furthermore, more than 80% of the links between microorganisms in the plastisphere were specialist links (links occurring in the plastisphere but absent from the corresponding natural environment) in each ecosystem (Figure S19). In the freshwater ecosystem, the plastisphere specialist links consisted mainly of associations between members of Alphaproteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Gammaproteobacteria (Figure 2E). The plastisphere specialist links in the seawater ecosystem derived mainly from members of Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, and Bacteroidetes (Figure 2E). Connections between members of Firmicutes and Betaproteobacteria contributed most of the plastisphere specialist links in the terrestrial ecosystem (Figure 2E).

Altered ecologically relevant functional profile in the plastisphere

Ecologically relevant functional signatures in the plastisphere and the natural environment were annotated with the Functional Annotation of Prokaryotic Taxa (FAPROTAX) tool.31 Unconstrained PCoA with PERMANOVA revealed that significantly distinct functional features existed between the plastisphere and the natural environment in all three ecosystems (Figures S20 and S21; Table S20). Notably, the plastisphere in freshwater ecosystems exhibited significantly higher functional potentials related to denitrification, respiration of nitrogen and nitrogen oxides, and nitrate reduction, while having a lower functional potential for nitrification (Figure 3; Table S21). Functions related to the degradation or decomposi- tion of organic compounds, including ligninolysis, oil bioremediation, hydrocarbon degradation, and aromatic hydrocarbon degradation, showed generally higher potentials in the plastisphere (Figure 3; Table S21). Based on databases PlasticDB35 and Microbial Biodegradation of Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) Database (mibPOPdb),33 we showed that the potential for plastic and POP degradation was remarkably higher in the plastisphere of all the studied ecosystems (Figure 3; Table S21).

To validate the robustness of the global sample-based functional evaluations, we further analyzed functional genes related to nitrogen metabolism and organic compound metabolism between the plastisphere and the natural environment, using our own metagenomic samples collected from regional freshwater, seawater, and terrestrial ecosystems. The metage- nomic data-based results also showed that the plastisphere in freshwater ecosystems exhibited a significantly higher abundance of genes encoding...
for denitrification functions (Figure S22; Table S22), whereas functional genes related to organic compound metabolism, such as carbohydrate metabolism (Figure S23; Table S22), plastic degradation (Figure S24; Table S22), and POP degradation (Figure S25; Table S22), showed generally high levels in the plastisphere in all ecosystems.

**Increased pathogenic risks in the plastisphere**

Using the multiple bacterial pathogen detection (MBPD) tool, we evaluated pathogenic risks to animals, plants, and humans from the plastisphere. The MBPD database, designed specifically under the One Health vision, contains 72,685 full-length 16S gene sequences from 1,986 reported bacterial species (Table S23). Notably, total abundances of plant pathogens, animal pathogens, and zoonotic pathogens showed a significant increase in the plastisphere (Figure 4; Table S24). A wide range of pathogens underwent upregulation in the plastisphere. 230 of 589 detected pathogens were enriched in the plastisphere in the freshwater ecosystem, 96 of 594 detected pathogens were enriched in the plastisphere in the seawater ecosystem, and 232 of 529 detected pathogens were enriched in the plastisphere in the terrestrial ecosystem (Figure 4; Table S23). In each ecosystem, a significant portion of pathogenic species not occurring in the associated natural environment was detected in the plastisphere (Figure 4; Table S23), emphasizing that the potential of the plastisphere to harbor pathogens for long-distance, cross-ecosystem transport.

We also analyzed clinical pathogens in the samples specifically using the 16S Pathogenic Identification Process (16SPIP) pipeline to further evaluate the human disease risk posed by the plastisphere. The 16SPIP is an effective tool for rapid pathogen detection in clinical samples and also widely applied in environmental samples. A detailed description of the result is presented in Result S4. Briefly, higher clinically pathogenic risks were observed in the plastisphere; the total abundance of clinical pathogens generally showed a higher level in the plastisphere. In each ecosystem, all of the pathogens detected in the natural environment also occurred in the plastisphere, but the plastisphere harbored additional pathogenic species that were not detected in the corresponding natural environment (Figures S26 and S27; Tables S25–S28).

Because aquatic animals, compared to organisms in other ecosystems, are more likely to accidentally ingest plastic debris via filter feeding, we further specifically identified potential fish pathogens in the samples based on the Fish Pathogen Database to reveal the threat from the plastisphere to fish health. Results showed that, in all three ecosystems, the total abundance of fish pathogens always demonstrated significantly higher abundance in the plastisphere rather than in the natural environment (Figure S28; Table S29).

Using our metagenomic samples obtained from regional freshwater, seawater, and terrestrial ecosystems, we analyzed genes encoding virulence factors in the plastisphere and the natural environment to illustrate the robustness of our global sample–based pathogenic potential assessment results. We found generally higher levels of genes encoding virulence factors in the plastisphere rather than in the natural environment in all of the studied ecosystems (Figure S29; Table S22).
DISCUSSION

Here, we constructed a global catalog of microbial communities from the plastisphere, covering samples from freshwater, seawater, and terrestrial ecosystems. We explored the ecological processes and mechanisms of microbial assembly in the plastisphere. This analysis shows that the human production of plastics is altering the natural microbial world, potentially influencing ecological processes, biosecurity, and human health (Figure 5).

The unique habitat selectively recruits a distinct microbiome with new coexistence patterns

As a habitat, the plastisphere has unique characteristics. First, the substrate of the plastisphere is organic, hydrophobic, buoyant, and persistent.29,30,28 Plastic is composed of organic carbon compounds40,41 that also tend to adsorb organic matter from the environment.28 This provides nutrients for some microorganisms.42 Harmful compounds can be released as plastic degrades—for example, the phthalate plasticizers, bisphenol A, and metal additives such as zinc, copper, and nickel.43 These have potential effects on photosynthesis and community composition.44 Hazardous hydrophobic pollutants such as polychlorinated biphenyls45 and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons46 are adsorbed from the ambient environment by the surface of plastic polymers. These exert strong selection pressure on microorganisms.

Consequently, the plastisphere selectively enriches microorganisms.20,47 Microorganisms that can adapt to the unique environment are promoted and those that cannot adapt are inhibited, resulting in the formation of distinct microbial communities in the plastisphere (Figure 1B). The ability of plastics to travel through different environmental media (also referred to as the “plastic cycle”48,49) and the protection afforded to the microbial community by the plastisphere20 allows plastics to carry microorganisms for long distances and between ecosystems.28,50 This unique characteristic is verified in the present study by the source analysis of plastisphere microorganisms, which shows that plastispheres from different ecosystems have common sources and that plastisphere microorganisms can partially originate from the natural environment of other ecosystems (Figure 1E). Based on our field-collected samples, we found that, compared to the ambient microbial community, the plastisphere microbial community was...
less affected by environmental physicochemical properties (see supplemental information for details: Result S5, Figure S3D). Therefore, the plastisphere can act as a vector for transporting microorganisms through different ecosystems. This may be an important driver of differences between the structure of the microbial community, in particular, plastispheres and their corresponding natural environment. This property also makes it possible for the plastisphere to transport invasive species into new environments, which may disturb the stability of natural ecosystems.19,28,51

The second unique characteristic of the plastisphere is its great heterogeneity, which is caused by the complexity and diversity of the plastic pollutants, including complex polymers, additives, and aging time.51,52 The third characteristic of the plastisphere habitat is its high degree of fragmentation and isolation. The combination of these three characteristics explains the significantly increased importance of deterministic processes in the assembly of plastisphere microbial communities (Figure 1G). The unique microenvironmental conditions exert vast selection pressures on microorganisms, the high heterogeneity increases heterogeneous selection, and fragmentation and isolation make it more difficult for microorganisms to disperse among these microhabitats. It is well documented that deterministic and stochastic processes jointly lead to distance-decay patterns in biotic communities, because increases in geographic distance can increase the difficulty of dispersal and are associated with differences in environmental conditions.53–54 The environmental conditions of the plastisphere are shaped by both the plastic substrate55,56 and the physicochemical properties of its ambient environment.56 Different types of plastic can recruit different microbial communities.55,56 Our previous study revealed that the similarity of plastic-type composition also decreased significantly with increasing geographic distance,52 and this finding has been confirmed by subsequent studies.57,58 Therefore, strong dispersal limitation, high environmental selection pressure resulting from the heterogeneity of the substrate, and bulk physicochemical variation combine to generate a significant distance-decay pattern and a high β-diversity of the plastisphere microbiome (Figure 1C). Associations among microorganisms shape microbial diversity and functions.65–67 The complexity and stability of microbial networks in the plastisphere are lower than those in the natural environment.

The distinct biotope threatens ecosystem functioning and One Health

The plastisphere has significantly distinct functional potentials compared to the natural environment in which it is embedded (Figure 3). Arguably, this affects the normal functioning of the whole ecosystem, especially because the microbial biomass of the plastisphere is often higher than that of the surrounding medium.19 Due to the nature of its organic substrate, the plastispheredomesticates or selects microorganisms with functions related to the degradation of organic contaminants. In addition to the effects of the above environmental selections, dispersal limitation also mediates microbial coexistence.60,65,67 The strong dispersal limitation of the plastisphere can reduce the chances of species association. Supporting the core ecological theory that complexity begets stability,67 the low complexity of plastisphere networks leads to their low robustness (Figure 2C). Notably, this study reveals that the composition of the nodes supporting the ecological networks is largely different between the plastisphere and the natural environment, and that most of the microbial associations in the plastisphere are specialist links (Figures 2D and 2E). These results demonstrate the fundamental difference between the plastisphere and the natural environment, and once again illustrate the unique environmental properties of the plastisphere, leading to new patterns of coexistence among microorganisms.

The distinct biotope threatens ecosystem functioning and One Health

The plastisphere has significantly distinct functional potentials compared to the natural environment in which it is embedded (Figure 3). Arguably, this affects the normal functioning of the whole ecosystem, especially because the microbial biomass of the plastisphere is often higher than that of the surrounding medium.19 Due to the nature of its organic substrate, the plastisphere domesticates or selects microorganisms with functions related to the decomposition or degradation of organic compounds (Figure 3). For example, higher degradation potentials for hydrocarbons, aromatic hydrocarbons, plastics, and POPs prevail in the plastisphere of all of the ecosystems, a higher ligninolytic potential presents in the plastisphere from the terrestrial ecosystem, which is the most lignin-rich ecosystem, and a higher oil remediation potential exists...
The Innovation

The plastisphere, which harbors microorganisms moving across ecosystems, may cause microbial invasions and disturb the stability of ecosystems. The general recruitment of organic-metabolizing bacteria and the altered nitrogen-metabolizing bacteria indicate that the plastisphere has potential effects on ecological processes, environmental quality, and climate change. The enrichment of human pathogens, plant pathogens, and animal pathogens means that the plastisphere poses critical challenges for One Health.

The plastisphere can cause different ecological effects in different ecosystems

Our findings that the plastisphere differentially enriches microbial taxa, elemental metabolic functions, and conditional pathogenic taxa in different ecosystems (Figures 1D, 3, and 4) indicate that the plastisphere could cause different ecological effects under different scenarios. Our previous study revealed that the composition of plastic debris varies significantly in different ecosystems due to the great differences in the physical and chemical properties of the environmental substrates and the highly different pollution sources; therefore, the different substrate composition of the plastisphere may be an important cause of the different ecological effects of the plastisphere in different ecosystems. In addition, due to the largely different physicochemical properties of different ecosystems, the plastisphere can play different roles for microorganisms in different ecosystems. For example, in terrestrial ecosystems, soils are usually rich in nutrients for microorganisms, and although the plastisphere can effectively adsorb organic matter, the availability of nutrients may not be an important reason for the enrichment of microorganisms by the plastisphere. However, in aquatic ecosystems, especially in the harsh and nutrient-poor environment of seawater ecosystems, the plastisphere, which is inert organic carbon itself and adsorb organic matter, the availability of nutrients may not be an important

The high abundance of human pathogens in the plastisphere means that plastic pollution increases the risk of human exposure to pathogens; moreover, plastic debris absorbed by animals and plants causes an increase in disease risk for humans indirectly.

The discovery that unique pathogens are present in the plastisphere but absent in the corresponding natural environment applied to all of the studied ecosystems (Figure 4) further confirms that plastics can act as vectors, harboring microorganisms for cross-ecosystem transport, in line with a previous in situ sequential incubation study. This unique property of plastics poses an invasion risk from pathogens and other microorganisms, greatly increasing the disturbance to natural ecosystems and the uncertainty of infection in animals and humans.

Therefore, in line with the core notion of One Health, the plastisphere can be said to pose a challenge to the health of environments, plants, animals, and humans.

The plastisphere can cause different ecological effects in different ecosystems

Our findings that the plastisphere differentially enriches microbial taxa, elemental metabolic functions, and conditional pathogenic taxa in different ecosystems (Figures 1D, 3, and 4) indicate that the plastisphere could cause different ecological effects under different scenarios. Our previous study revealed that the composition of plastic debris varies significantly in different ecosystems due to the great differences in the physical and chemical properties of the environmental substrates and the highly different pollution sources; therefore, the different substrate composition of the plastisphere may be an important cause of the different ecological effects of the plastisphere in different ecosystems. In addition, due to the largely different physicochemical properties of different ecosystems, the plastisphere can play different roles for microorganisms in different ecosystems. For example, in terrestrial ecosystems, soils are usually rich in nutrients for microorganisms, and although the plastisphere can effectively adsorb organic matter, the availability of nutrients may not be an important reason for the enrichment of microorganisms by the plastisphere. However, in aquatic ecosystems, especially in the harsh and nutrient-poor environment of seawater ecosystems, the plastisphere, which is inert organic carbon itself and also can effectively adsorb ambient organic matter, could serve as "nutrient islands" within these nutrient deserts. Therefore, the different roles of the plastisphere under different environmental conditions could be another important cause of differentiated microbial taxa or functions enriched by the plastisphere in different ecosystems. Given these findings, plastic pollution is a global problem that requires local pollution control management. Therefore, further efforts are needed to reveal the specific effects of the plastisphere in representative human-influenced areas and to identify areas where plastic pollution should be prioritized for control.
In conclusion, the plastisphere distinguishes itself from natural habitats by selectively recruiting microbial communities and generating new coexistence patterns in which emerging microbial associations occur in loose and fragile networks. The functional implications of such a unique plastisphere assembly are reflected in its distinct metabolic potential for nitrogen cycling and organic compounds, and great enrichment of animal, plant, and human pathogens, which may perturb the functioning of ecosystems and critically challenge the achievement of One Health. Our results provide a theoretical basis for quantifying the effects of the plastisphere on a number of planetary health issues, such as carbon turnover, greenhouse gas emission, pathogen-related food safety, and biological health, in relationship to the surface area of the plastisphere under the projected trajectory of its production and release. With the plastisphere as an example, understanding how the expansion of man-made surfaces introduced by human civilization is altering the natural microbial world contributes to informed global actions on the consequence of evolving microbiology in the Anthropocene. In the future, quantifying the extent of ecological processes, climate changes, and health events driven by plastic pollution will be necessary to fully assess plastic pollution risks. Given that our findings demonstrate the ability of the plastisphere to foster microbial communities with a heightened capacity for organic compound degradation, if we can establish microbial technologies and products sourced from the plastisphere, it would make a substantial contribution to the solution of global plastic pollution.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
See supplemental information for details.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY
The raw sequencing data from the field-collected samples have been deposited in the NCBI under accession identification numbers PRJNA717904 (for the amplicon sequencing data) and PRJNA984432 (for the metagenomic sequencing data). The sources of publicly available data are provided in Table S1. All of the data used in the analysis of this study are provided in Tables S2–S29. R scripts for key analyses in this study are available at https://github.com/Changchao-Li/global_plastisphere_ecology.
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