



香港城市大學
City University of Hong Kong

專業 創新 胸懷全球
Professional · Creative
For The World

CityU Scholars

Was Wuhan the early epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic?—A critique

57 authors, including; Li, Shuai Cheng

Published in:
National Science Review

Published: 01/04/2023

Document Version:
Final Published version, also known as Publisher's PDF, Publisher's Final version or Version of Record

License:
CC BY

Publication record in CityU Scholars:
[Go to record](#)

Published version (DOI):
[10.1093/nsr/nwac287](https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwac287)

Publication details:
57 authors, including, & Li, S. C. (2023). Was Wuhan the early epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic?—A critique. *National Science Review*, 10(4), Article nwac287. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwac287>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on CityU Scholars is the Post-print version (also known as Accepted Author Manuscript, Peer-reviewed or Author Final version), it may differ from the Final Published version. When citing, ensure that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination and other details.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the CityU Scholars portal is retained by the author(s) and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. Users may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.

Publisher permission

Permission for previously published items are in accordance with publisher's copyright policies sourced from the SHERPA RoMEO database. Links to full text versions (either Published or Post-print) are only available if corresponding publishers allow open access.

Take down policy

Contact lbscholars@cityu.edu.hk if you believe that this document breaches copyright and provide us with details. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

MOLECULAR BIOLOGY & GENETICS

Was Wuhan the early epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic?— A critique

Yanan Cao ^{ID}¹, Lingling Chen², Hua Chen ^{ID}³, Yupeng Cun⁴, Xiaofeng Dai ^{ID}⁵, Hongli Du⁶, Feng Gao ^{ID}⁷, Fengbiao Guo⁸, Yalong Guo ^{ID}⁹, Pei Hao¹⁰, Shunmin He ^{ID}¹¹, Shunping He¹², XiongLei He ^{ID}¹³, Zheng Hu¹⁴, Boon-Peng Hoh¹⁵, Xin Jin¹⁶, Qian Jiang¹⁷, Qinghua Jiang ^{ID}¹⁸, Asifullah Khan¹⁹, Hong-Zhi Kong⁹, Jinchen Li ^{ID}²⁰, Shuai Cheng Li²¹, Ying Li²², Qiang Lin²³, Jianquan Liu²⁴, Qi Liu²⁵, Jian Lu ^{ID}²⁶, Xuemei Lu²⁷, Shujin Luo²⁶, Qinghua Nie²⁸, Zilong Qiu²⁹, Tieliu Shi ^{ID}³⁰, Xiaofeng Song ^{ID}³¹, Jianzhong Su ^{ID}³², Sheng-ce Tao³³, Chaolong Wang ^{ID}³⁴, Chuan-Chao Wang³⁵, Guo-Dong Wang²⁷, Jiguang Wang ^{ID}³⁶, Qi Wu³⁷, Shaoyuan Wu³⁸, Shuhua Xu ^{ID}³⁹, Yu Xue ^{ID}⁴⁰, Wenjun Yang⁴¹, Zhaohui Yang⁴², Kai Ye⁴³, Yuan-Nong Ye⁴⁴, Li Yu⁴⁵, Fangqing Zhao ^{ID}⁴⁶, Yiqiang Zhao⁴⁷, Weiwei Zhai⁴⁸, Dandan Zhang⁴⁹, Liye Zhang ^{ID}⁵⁰, Houfeng Zheng ^{ID}⁵¹, Qi Zhou ^{ID}⁵², Tianqi Zhu⁵³ and Ya-ping Zhang ^{ID}^{27,*}

Recently, Worobey *et al.* (2022) published a report entitled ‘The Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market in Wuhan was the early epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic’ that succinctly summarizes their study [1]. A pre-print version of this study had earlier elicited a series of high-profile media coverages [2,3]. All these reports deliver a social-political message that the Huanan market is the epicenter of COVID-19.

At the outset, we shall clarify the meaning of ‘epicenter’ in the context of the pandemic. Epicenter, narrowly defined, is the point on the earth’s surface directly above an earthquake. Hence, in this context, epicenter should be the place from which the pathogen spread globally to cause the pandemic. By this definition, what Worobey *et al.* identified is not the epicenter of the global pandemic for the obvious reason that the conclusion is based entirely on SARS-CoV-2 samples collected in Wuhan. Where else could they have pinpointed the epicenter with their samples? Had they used only virus data from the

Antarctica, they would have concluded that some place on that continent is the epicenter. A relevant example is the island province across the Taiwan Strait. Studies that analyzed the virus data entirely from the island have also shown that the Taoyuan International Airport south of the city of Taipei is the ‘epicenter’. In short, what Worobey *et al.* (2022) show is that the early epidemic in Wuhan centered around its seafood market, analogous to the Taoyuan Airport ‘epicenter’ of Taiwan.

In a technical sense, Worobey *et al.*’s title is vague. Did they simply mean that the Huanan Market is the ‘epicenter’ of the early *local* epidemic in Wuhan? Nevertheless, the juxtaposition of ‘epicenter’ and ‘pandemic’ in their title must have meant the global epicenter. Indeed, the global media has read Worobey *et al.* to mean Wuhan, with its seafood market, as the epicenter of the entire pandemic [2–6].

Worobey *et al.* have failed to follow the standard practice of presenting a scientific report in the context of previous

publications on the same subject. This is particularly important when the conclusion is diametrically opposed to those of previous publications. Worobey *et al.* should have compared their conclusions with studies that have more extensive geographic data. Furthermore, although the interest is in the early phase of the pandemic, it has been shown that samples from subsequent periods can be informative about the early phase by interpolation. After all, later samples are far more abundant and better organized when human societies became aware of the onset of epidemics.

We have compiled a set of reports on viral samples from wild animals [7–16] which, collectively, are far more global by geography than the report we critique here. Another set of diverse studies provides evidence that SARS-CoV-2 may have been spreading worldwide for weeks or even months prior to the epidemic in Wuhan in December 2019 [17–24]. Such reports have been brushed aside due to a mis-conception on the onset of epidemics.

The misconception is most explicitly stated in a recent news report [25] as follows: ‘the idea of a pandemic origin outside China is preposterous to many scientists, because there’s simply no way SARS-CoV-2 could have come from some foreign place to Wuhan and triggered an explosive outbreak there without first racing through humans at the site of its origin’. In the absence of an evolutionary perspective, that human and chimpanzee could have a common ancestor would be equally ‘preposterous’. Cohen’s other points including the integrity of Chinese scientists is not worthy of a response.

Using the branching process to model the evolution of epidemics, Ruan *et al.* [26,27] and Kucharski *et al.* [28] have shown that invasions into a new population could trigger local epidemics only sporadically in the early phase. Local epidemics may even reach an alarming level of infections before fading out on its own. In this sense, there may be many earlier local epidemics (or endemics) that rise and fall before the eventual success of global spread from the true epicenter of the pandemic. The many reports of local infections prior to the global pandemic could be such a manifestation [17–24].

Ruan *et al.*’s [29] title ‘The twin-beginnings of COVID-19 in Asia and Europe—one prevails quickly’ may be a most explicit analysis of multiple early events. Their analysis has corroborated the earlier sampling results from the Lombardy region of Italy [20,21]. All these studies have concluded that Wuhan is not likely to be the epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic. Finally, however the critiques and debates in the scientific community may resolve the issue, it is unfortunate that the pre-print version of Worobey *et al.* has attained unwarranted publicity on a subject of enormous social and political implication. The pre-print platform should be used by the scientific community to speed up exchanges, rather than by investigators to influence the societies at large before being debated among scientists.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

Yanan Cao ¹, Lingling Chen², Hua Chen ³, Yupeng Cun⁴, Xiaofeng Dai ⁵, Hongli Du⁶, Feng Gao ⁷, Fengbiao Guo⁸, Yalong Guo ⁹, Pei Hao¹⁰, Shunmin He ¹¹, Shunping He¹², XiongLei He ¹³, Zheng Hu¹⁴, Boon-Peng Hoh¹⁵, Xin Jin¹⁶, Qian Jiang¹⁷, Qinghua Jiang ¹⁸, Asifullah Khan¹⁹, Hong-Zhi Kong⁹, Jinchen Li ²⁰, Shuai Cheng Li²¹, Ying Li²², Qiang Lin²³, Jianquan Liu²⁴, Qi Liu²⁵, Jian Lu ²⁶, Xuemei Lu²⁷, Shujin Luo²⁶, Qinghua Nie²⁸, Zilong Qiu²⁹, Tieliu Shi ³⁰, Xiaofeng Song ³¹, Jianzhong Su ³², Sheng-ce Tao³³, Chaolong Wang ³⁴, Chuan-Chao Wang³⁵, Guo-Dong Wang²⁷, Jiguang Wang ³⁶, Qi Wu³⁷, Shaoyuan Wu³⁸, Shuhua Xu ³⁹, Yu Xue ⁴⁰, Wenjun Yang⁴¹, Zhaohui Yang⁴², Kai Ye⁴³, Yuan-Nong Ye⁴⁴, Li Yu⁴⁵, Fangqing Zhao ⁴⁶, Yiqiang Zhao⁴⁷, Weiwei Zhai⁴⁸, Dandan Zhang⁴⁹, Liye Zhang ⁵⁰, Houfeng Zheng ⁵¹, Qi Zhou ⁵², Tianqi Zhu⁵³ and Ya-ping Zhang ^{27,*}

¹Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China; ²College of Life Science and Technology, Guangxi University, China; ³Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; ⁴Children’s Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, China; ⁵Wuxi School of Medicine, Jiangnan University, China; ⁶School of Biology and Biological Engineering, South China University of Technology, China; ⁷Department of Physics, School of Science, Tianjin University, China; ⁸School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Wuhan University, China; ⁹Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; ¹⁰Institut Pasteur of Shanghai, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; ¹¹Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; ¹²Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; ¹³School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, China; ¹⁴Institute of Synthetic Biology, Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; ¹⁵Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University College Sedaya International, Malaysia; ¹⁶School of Medicine, South China University of Technology, China; ¹⁷Department of Medical Genetics, Capital Institute of Pediatrics, China; ¹⁸School of Life Science and Technology, Harbin Institute of Technology, China; ¹⁹Department of Biochemistry, Abdul Wali Khan University, Pakistan; ²⁰Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, China; ²¹Department of Computer Science, City University

of Hong Kong, China; ²²College of Life Science and Technology, Foshan University, China; ²³South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; ²⁴College of Ecology, Lanzhou University, China; ²⁵School of Life Sciences and Technology, Tongji University, China; ²⁶School of Life Sciences, Peking University, China; ²⁷Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; ²⁸College of Animal Science, South China Agricultural University, China; ²⁹Institute of Neuroscience, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; ³⁰School of Life Sciences, East China Normal University, China; ³¹Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, China; ³²Wenzhou Institute, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; ³³Institute of Systems Biomedicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China; ³⁴Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China; ³⁵School of Life Sciences, Xiamen University, China; ³⁶Division of Life Science and Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, China; ³⁷Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; ³⁸School of Life Sciences, Jiangsu Normal University, China; ³⁹School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, China; ⁴⁰College of Life Science and Technology, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China; ⁴¹International Center for Aging and Cancer, Hainan Medical University, China; ⁴²Academy of Medical Science, Zhengzhou University, China; ⁴³Faculty of Electronic and Information Engineering, Xi’an Jiaotong University, China; ⁴⁴Bioinformatics and BioMedical Bigdata Mining Laboratory, School of Big Health, Guizhou Medical University, China; ⁴⁵School of Life Sciences, Yunnan University, China; ⁴⁶Beijing Institutes of Life Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; ⁴⁷College of Biological Sciences, China Agricultural University, China; ⁴⁸Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; ⁴⁹Department of Pathology, and Department of Medical Oncology of the Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, China; ⁵⁰School of Life Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, China; ⁵¹School of Life Sciences, Westlake University, China; ⁵²Life Sciences Institute, Zhejiang University, China and ⁵³Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

*Corresponding author. E-mail:

zhangyp@mail.kiz.ac.cn

REFERENCES

1. Worobey M, Levy JI and Serrano LM *et al.* *Science* 2022; **377**: 951–9.

2. Maxmen A. *Nature* 2022; **603**: 15–6.
3. Zimmer C and Mueller B. *NY Times* 2022; **26**.
<https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2022/02/26/science/covid-virus-wuhan-origins.html> Advance access publication 25 August 2022
4. Cohen J. *Science* 2022; **375**: 946–7.
5. Gill V. *BBC News* 2022. <https://www.bbc.com/news/science-environment-62307383> Advance access publication 25 August 2022
6. Mathur N. *News-Medical* 2022. <https://www.news-medical.net/news/20220728/Evidence-that-the-Huanan-market-in-Wuhan-China-was-the-epicenter-of-the-COVID-19-pandemic.aspx> Advance access publication 25 August 2022
7. Wu Z, Han Y and Wang Y *et al.* *Natl Sci Rev.* 2023; **10**: nwac213.
8. Zhao S, Hou Y and Zhang X *et al.* *J Genet Ge-nomics* 2022; **49**: 900–2.
9. Wu Z, Jin Q and Wu G *et al.* *Lancet North Am Ed* 2021; **398**: 1299–303.
10. Temmam S, Vongphayloth K and Baquero E *et al.* *Nature* 2022; **604**: 330–6.
11. Zhou H, Chen X and Hu T *et al.* *Curr Biol* 2020; **30**: 2196–203.
12. Zhou H, Ji J and Chen X *et al.* *Cell* 2021; **184**: 4380–91.
13. Zhang T, Wu Q and Zhang Z. *Curr Biol* 2020; **30**: 1346–51.
14. Lam TT, Jia N and Zhang YW *et al.* *Nature* 2020; **583**: 282–5.
15. Xiao K, Zhai J and Feng Y *et al.* *Nature* 2020; **583**: 286–9.
16. Peng MS, Li JB and Cai ZF *et al.* *Zool Res* 2021; **42**: 834–44.
17. Montomoli E, Apolone G and Manenti A *et al.* *Viruses* 2022; **14**: 61.
18. Lai A, Tambuzzi S and Bergna A *et al.* *Front Micro-biol* 2022; **13**: 886317.
19. Apolone G, Montomoli E and Manenti A *et al.* *Tumori* 2021; **107**: 446–51.
20. Amendola A, Canuti M and Bianchi S *et al.* *Envi-ron Res* 2022; **115**: 113979.
21. Amendola A, Bianchi S and Gori M *et al.* *Emerg Infect Dis* 2021; **27**: 648–50.
22. Deslandes A, Berti V and Tandjaoui-Lambotte Y *et al.* *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2020; **55**: 106006.
23. La Rosa G, Mancini P and Bonanno Ferraro G *et al.* *Sci Total Environ* 2021; **750**: 141711.
24. Canuti M, Bianchi S and Kolbl O *et al.* *BMJ Glob Health* 2022; **7**: e008386.
25. Cohen J. *Science* 2022; **377**: 805–9.
26. Ruan Y, Wen H and He X *et al.* *Sci Bull* 2021; **66**: 1022–9.
27. Ruan Y, Luo Z and Tang X *et al.* *Natl Sci Rev* 2021; **8**: nwaa246.
28. Kucharski AJ, Russell TW and Diamond C *et al.* *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020; **20**: 553–8.
29. Ruan Y, Wen H and Hou M *et al.* *Natl Sci Rev* 2022; **9**: nwab223.