



香港城市大學
City University of Hong Kong

專業 創新 胸懷全球
Professional · Creative
For The World

CityU Scholars

A G-quadruplex structure in microRNA interferes with messenger RNA recognition and controls gene expression

Lyu, Kaixin; Kwok, Chun Kit

Published in:
Chemical Communications

Published: 07/07/2023

Document Version:
Post-print, also known as Accepted Author Manuscript, Peer-reviewed or Author Final version

Publication record in CityU Scholars:
[Go to record](#)

Published version (DOI):
[10.1039/d3cc01549a](https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cc01549a)

Publication details:
Lyu, K., & Kwok, C. K. (2023). A G-quadruplex structure in microRNA interferes with messenger RNA recognition and controls gene expression. *Chemical Communications*, 59(53), 8230–8233.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cc01549a>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on CityU Scholars is the Post-print version (also known as Accepted Author Manuscript, Peer-reviewed or Author Final version), it may differ from the Final Published version. When citing, ensure that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination and other details.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the CityU Scholars portal is retained by the author(s) and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. Users may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.

Publisher permission

Permission for previously published items are in accordance with publisher's copyright policies sourced from the SHERPA RoMEO database. Links to full text versions (either Published or Post-print) are only available if corresponding publishers allow open access.

Take down policy

Contact lbscholars@cityu.edu.hk if you believe that this document breaches copyright and provide us with details. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023.

This is the accepted version of a paper published in *Chemical Communications*. This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Lyu, K., & Kwok, C. K. (2023). A G-quadruplex structure in microRNA interferes with messenger RNA recognition and controls gene expression. *Chemical Communications*, 59(53), 8230-8233. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cc01549a>.

COMMUNICATION

G-quadruplex structure in microRNA interferes with messenger RNA recognition and controls gene expression

Kaixin Lyu^a and Chun Kit Kwok^{a,b}Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

We identify and characterize an RNA G-quadruplex (rG4) structure motif in the human microRNA 638 (hsa-miR-638). We investigate the formation and role of this rG4 *in vitro* and in cells, and reveal that it inhibits the miR-638 and *MEF2C* messenger RNA interaction and control gene expression at the translational level.

RNA secondary structures have key roles in fundamental biological processes, including RNA synthesis, splicing, processing, and translation^{1,2}. The guanine (G)-rich sequence in RNA can self-assemble through hydrogen bonds to form G-quartets (Figure 1A), which can further stack on each other and are linked by connective loops to form RNA G-quadruplexes (rG4s) (Figure 1B)³. rG4 is stabilized by monovalent cations such as K⁺ and Na⁺, but not others like Li⁺⁴. Recently, transcriptome-wide analysis has investigated rG4s in both coding and non-coding (nc) RNAs in the transcriptome⁵⁻⁷. These rG4s have been proposed to control gene regulation and RNA metabolism and are related to a number of diseases, such as cancers^{8,9}.

miRNAs (or miRs) are a class of small ncRNAs containing about 22 nucleotides and are widely distributed in plants, mammals, and several viruses¹⁰. miRNAs are processed from the cleavage of primary microRNAs (pri-miRNAs) and pre-miRNAs by Drosha and Dicer¹¹. Each miRNA has a sequence region (seed region) that is reverse complementary to the miRNA recognition element (MRE) on the messenger RNA (mRNA)^{11,12}. It was predicted that more than 60% of mRNAs could be targeted by miRNAs, which control mRNA degradation, protein translation suppression, and other processes^{11,12}.

Compared with coding rG4s, both identification and characterization of nc rG4s fall far behind, and it is only in recent years that research on nc rG4s has gradually increased^{1,13,14}. Yuan and co-workers showcased rG4 formation in miR-3620-5p¹⁵, miR-5196-5p¹⁶, and miR-1587¹⁷, and reported that the stabilization of miR-3620-5p rG4 impedes the base pairing with its target sequence *in vitro*¹⁵. Our group has recently performed

rG4 computational prediction to identify 166 human miRNAs with high potential to form rG4 and experimentally validated an rG4 formation in miR-765 and the rG4's potential gene regulatory roles in cells¹⁸. Considering the significant biological role of miRNA and the prevalence of rG4 in miRNA, huge gaps remain in the studying and understanding of the formation and roles of rG4s in miRNAs *in vitro* and in cells.




Figure 1. G-quartet and G-quadruplex structure in miR-638. (A) Chemical structure of a G-quartet, with a potassium ion (K⁺) in the centre to stabilize the G-quartet. (B) Two G-quartets stack on each other to form the G-quadruplex. (C) Comparative sequence analysis of miR-638 in mammalian species. The seed region is boxed in red.

miR-638 is one of the well-studied miRNAs and can regulate diseases including cancers¹⁹. It was reported that the overexpression of miR-638 downregulates the myocyte enhancer factor 2c (*MEF2C*) expression by targeting the 3'-untranslated region (UTR) of *MER2C* mRNA²⁰. Interestingly, this miRNA contained putative rG4 sequences and was also found in the list we published earlier¹⁸. Based on its biological significance and rG4 forming potential^{18,19}, we hypothesize that miR-638 is an excellent candidate to help uncover the miRNA G4 structural features and its function in the miRNA-guided RNA silencing pathway. Herein, we first identified the rG4 formation in miR-638 using biophysical and biochemical assays. Next, we characterized that rG4 formation in miR-638 can interfere with the miR-638-*MEF2C* mRNA interaction *in vitro*. Last, we showed that rG4 in miR-638 can control miRNA-regulated gene expression in cells.

^a Department of Chemistry and State Key Laboratory of Marine Pollution, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong SAR, China.

^b Shenzhen Research Institute of City University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, China. Email: ckkwok42@cityu.edu.hk.

†Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available. See

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

To investigate whether the G-rich sequence is conserved, we obtained the sequences of miR-638 in miRbase and National Library of Medicine, performed comparative sequence analysis, and found that this putative G-rich sequence is conserved in several primates (Figure 1C). To inspect the sequence further, we employed G4 prediction by G4RNA scanner and obtained the cGcC²¹, G4H²², and G4NN²³ scores among the species (Table S1). It was found that all of them have a high possibility of forming G4s according to the G4NN, which is developed specifically for rG4 detection. Interestingly, we found that hsa-miR-638 had G4 scores above the threshold in all three programs, suggesting that it is likely to fold into an rG4 motif.

To test if the miR-638 sequence folds into rG4, various biophysical and biochemical assays were performed. First, we designed the miR-638mut oligonucleotide by mutating all the Gs to As in miR-638, except for those in the seed region (Table S2). N-methyl mesoporphyrin IX (NMM) and Thioflavin T (ThT) are well-known G4-specific fluorescent turn-on ligands²⁴. We employed these two ligands to carry out ligand-enhanced fluorescence assay. Large fluorescence enhancement was detected for both ligands on miR-638, but not miR-638mut, when comparing K⁺ over Li⁺ (Figure 2A-B and S1A-B). Second, we conducted circular dichroism (CD) assay. The CD spectrum under K⁺ displayed a more intense positive peak at around 264 nm and a negative peak at around 240 nm compared with the Li⁺ condition on miR-638 but not miR-638mut (Figure 2C and S1C), supporting the formation of a parallel G4 in miR-638. Third, thermal melting monitored by UV absorbance (UV-melting) was conducted, and the melting temperature (T_m) was determined to be 76°C under 150 mM K⁺ on miR-638, indicating the G4 structure is highly thermostable (Figure 2D). MiR-638mut showed no hypochromic shift at 295 nm in UV melting, confirming no G4 formation in this mutated construct (Figure S1D). Last, SYBR Gold nucleic acid stain was used to stain both miR-638 and miR-638mut (Figure 2E and Figure S2A). We found that the miR-638 bands migrated slightly faster than miR-638mut on the native gel, and this could be explained by the fact that the rG4 structure is folded in miR-638, but not in miR-638mut, thus making miR-638 to be compacted and ran faster. To verify it, RNAs were stained by NMM, and only miR-638 bands can be visualized on the gel, which is consistent with our NMM-enhanced fluorescence assay (Figure 2A), further supporting rG4 formation in miR-638 (Figure 2F and Figure S2B). Together, these analyses reveal the formation of rG4 in miR-638 under physiologically relevant K⁺ condition and temperature.

To examine the effect of rG4 formation in miR-638 on miRNA-mRNA target interactions, we performed EMSA assays on miR-638 with increasing concentrations of *MEF2C* mRNA under K⁺ and Li⁺ conditions (Figure 3A and S3A; 3B and S3B). The *MEF2C* mRNA contains the MRE and the flanking sequences (Table S2). EMSA results showed that miR-638 exhibited much weaker binding to *MEF2C* mRNA under 150mM K⁺ (too weak to obtain a K_d value) when compared with 150mM Li⁺ (K_d=82.19±26.52 nM) (Figure 3C), highlighting that the rG4 formation in miR-638 inhibits miRNA binding to the mRNA target. As a control, we performed the same experiment on miR-638mut, and the binding was found to be similar under both K⁺ and Li⁺ (Figure S4), which verified that the mRNA binding inhibition effect observed in miR-638 construct is rG4-dependent. We also carried out the EMSA on miR-638 under K⁺




Figure 2. Biophysical and biochemical assays reveal rG4 formation in miR-638. (A-B) NMM and ThT ligand-enhanced fluorescence on the miR-638. Spectra under K⁺ showed a 7.5-fold increase in fluorescence at 610 nm for NMM (A) and a 3.2-fold increase in fluorescence at 486 nm for ThT (B) compared with the Li⁺, respectively. (C) CD spectrum of miR-638 detected a 3-fold increase in signal in the K⁺ compared with the Li⁺ at 264nm, supporting rG4 formation. (D) UV melting on miR-638 showed a hypochromic shift at 295nm, verifying rG4 formation. The rG4 thermostability under 150 mM K⁺ was at 76°C. (E-F) Native gel analysis on miR-638 and miR-638mut using SYBR gold (E) and NMM (F) stain. Both miR-638 (rG4-containing) and miR-638mut (mutated rG4) could be stained by general nucleic acid stain SYBR gold. Only miR-638, but not miR-638mut, could be stained by G4-specific stain NMM.

condition, adding other 4 miRNAs (miR-150-5p, miR-328-5p, miR-601, and miR-671-5p) as competitors (Figure S5). These four miRNAs do not contain seed region to target to *MEF2C* and our result showed that miR-638 interaction with *MEF2C* was not affected by these miRNA competitors. To support the EMSA results, we also carried out an NMM-enhanced fluorescence assay on miR-638 with varying concentrations of *MEF2C* mRNA under K⁺ condition (Figure 3D). Increasing *MEF2C* mRNA displayed NMM away from the miR-638, allowing the formation of miR 638 – *MEF2C* mRNA duplex. We found that up to 35% fluorescence intensity decrease was detected upon *MEF2C* mRNA addition (Figure 3D). As a control, the same experiment was conducted on miR-638mut and *MEF2C* mRNA, and no fluorescence change was observed (Figure 3E), indicating that the fluorescence change is due to the miR-638 G4 formation. As a second control, we performed the assay only on different concentrations of *MEF2C* (Figure S6) and observed no fluorescence change. In short, these results clearly demonstrate that rG4 formation in miR-638 can control miR-638 miRNA and *MEF2C* mRNA target interaction *in vitro*.




Figure 3. rG4 formation in miR-638 inhibits miRNA-mRNA interaction *in vitro*. (A–B) EMSA gel on miR-638 with *MEF2C* mRNA under 150 mM K^+ (A) and 150 mM Li^+ (B). (C) Binding curves of miR-638 and *MEF2C* mRNA under K^+ and Li^+ . The binding under Li^+ is stronger than K^+ , suggesting the rG4 formation in K^+ perturbed miRNA-mRNA duplex formation. (D–E) NMM-enhanced fluorescence on 0.25 μ M miR-638 (D) and miR-638mut (E), with 0–0.5 μ M *MEF2C* mRNA added under 150 mM K^+ . Spectra at 610 nm gradually decreased with more *MEF2C* mRNA for miR-638, suggesting the miRNA-mRNA duplex formed and displayed the NMM away from the miR-638. No significant change in the spectra for miR-638mut was observed.

To assess whether miR-638 binds to *MEF2C* and affects its gene expression, we first constructed a short *MEF2C* plasmid containing the MRE flanking sequence (Table S2). It was inserted into the 3'UTR of Renilla luciferase in the psiCHECK2 vector, with the Firefly luciferase signal serving as the internal control (Figure 4A). The miR-638, miR-638mut, and miR-scramble were co-transfected with the plasmid. miR-638 and miR-638mut share the same seed region, but the remaining Gs were mutated to As in miR-638mut. The results showed that the luciferase signal in miR-638 and miR-638mut were 2.57 ± 0.04 and 2.41 ± 0.03 -fold lower than the miR-Scramble (Figure S7A), suggesting that the seed region from both miR-638 and miR-638mut can bind to the *MEF2C* MRE and resulted in miRNA-mediated inhibition in gene expression.

To interrogate the impact of miR-638 rG4 formation on miR638-*MEF2C* targeting in cells, we incubated the cells with NMM while co-transfecting the miRNAs and short *MEF2C* plasmid. DMSO was added into HEK293T cells as control. The normalized luciferase activity was measured to be 1.62 ± 0.04 -fold higher under NMM condition than DMSO when cells were transfected with miR-638 (Figure 4B). No significant difference was observed for miR-638mut or miR-Scramble (Figure 4B). We reasoned that miR-638 G4 formation is stabilized by NMM, thus, reducing the chance for miRNA-mRNA interaction and preventing the gene down-regulation mediated by miRNA, which led to a higher luciferase signal detected. The normalized luciferase signals were reported to be similar for miR-638mut or miR-Scramble under NMM and DMSO treatment (Figure 4B),

illustrating that the NMM effect observed for miR-638 and *MEF2C* interaction is rG4-specific. To test whether this is acting on translational or post-transcriptional level, we performed RT-qPCR to detect the Renilla mRNA level. No significant difference was noticed for the Renilla mRNA expression in all groups (Figure S8), underlining that the rG4 in miR-638 affects gene expression on a translational level.

To mimic the native sequence better in cells, we also constructed a full-length 3'UTR *MEF2C* plasmid, including the 3'UTR of *MEF2C* (Table S2). We performed the similar reporter gene assay described above (Figure 4A). Both miR-638 and miR-638mut were shown to impede the *MEF2C* reporter gene expression (Figure S7B). For NMM effect, a 1.42 ± 0.03 -fold higher luciferase signal is detected under NMM compared with DMSO in the miR-638 group, but not miR-638mut or miR-Scramble (Figure 4C). RT-qPCR was also employed to test the mRNA level, and no significant difference was detected (Figure S9). Collectively, miR-638 rG4 can be stabilized by NMM in both the short and full-length construct, which resulted in the increased luciferase signal, underscoring that rG4 formation in miR-638 can lead to translational regulation in cells.




Figure 4. rG4 formation in miR-638 regulates gene expression in cells. (A) Schematic of miR-638 targeting on the short *MEF2C* plasmid and full-length 3'UTR *MEF2C* plasmid. The seed region is marked in red, and the MRE is in pink. (B–C) Normalised average luciferase signal acquired 24 hours post-transfection with the miR-638/ miR-638mut/ miR-Scramble, short *MEF2C* plasmid (B), and full-length 3'UTR *MEF2C* plasmid (C). Cells were treated with 40 μ M NMM or DMSO in transfection. *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, relative to DMSO controls; NS (not significant), $p > 0.05$.

Overall, our computational and comparative sequence analyses have first suggested that the G-rich sequence in miR-638 is conserved and have the potential to form into an rG4 motif that could be an RNA structural element in regulating *MEF2C* gene expression (Figure 1 and Table S1). Next, we used a combination of spectroscopic and gel-based assays to reveal the rG4 formation in miR-638 (Figure 2) and uncover that this rG4 conformation interferes with the miR-638 from targeting *MEF2C* mRNA *in vitro* and in cells, which resulted in altered gene expression at the translational level (Figure 3 and 4). Previously, rG4s have been reported in pri-miRNAs and pre-miRNAs, and they were found to play significant roles in miRNA maturation and function²⁵⁻²⁷. Despite the potential importance of mature miRNA G4 in gene regulation, research on this topic remains limited to identifying and characterizing the miRNA G4 formation *in vitro*¹⁵⁻¹⁸, with little exploration and investigation into their functional roles in cells. To fill this research and knowledge gap, we have taken the key step to study the rG4 effect on the native miRNA-mRNA binding *in vitro* and uncover the regulatory role of miR-638 G4 in *MEF2C* translation in cells for the first time. Given that there are more than 160 of such miRNA G4 candidates in the list we published earlier¹⁸, we think that more rG4s can be experimentally identified and characterized in functionally important miRNAs in the future, as these rG4 motifs may be well suited as potential RNA structural targets for various biological applications. In this work, we have used miR-638 and *MEF2C* as a proof-of-concept example. It was reported in the literature that the up-regulation and down-regulation of miR-638 were involved in human cancers¹⁹, and miR-638 could modulate the development of *MEF2C* in endometrial carcinoma (EC)²⁰. NMM is one of the well-studied small molecules shown to bind quadruplexes and stabilizes the G4 activity in cells²⁸, and in this work we have illustrated that NMM could be used to manipulate rG4-linked gene activity. It is worth to note that many G4 ligands, including NMM, do not have sufficient specificity to distinguish between dG4s versus rG4s, let alone individual G4 of interest in the genome/transcriptome. It will be of great interest to develop and apply new G4-specific tools to modulate the endogenous miR-638 G4 and *MERF2C* in EC and other cancers in the future.

To sum up, our study reveals that an rG4 structure within miRNA interferes with mRNA recognition, ultimately regulating gene expression. Using miR-638 and *MEF2C* as an example, we demonstrated that miR-638 contains a thermostable rG4 conformation that can compete with miR-638 – *MEF2C* mRNA binding, which in turn control translation. This novel finding highlights the significance of rG4 structures in miRNAs as critical modulators of gene expression, and our multidisciplinary approaches presented here enable the further exploration and study of rG4s in miRNAs and other classes of ncRNAs.

This work was supported by the NSFC Excellent Young Scientists Fund (Hong Kong and Macau) Project [32222089]; Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong SAR, China Projects [CityU 11100222, CityU 11100421, CityU 11101519]; Croucher Foundation Project [9509003]; State Key Laboratory of Marine Pollution Director Discretionary Fund [DDF/0008] and Seed Collaborative Research Fund [SCRF/0037, SCRF/0040]; City University of Hong Kong projects [9678302], [9667222] to C.K.K. We thank Dr. Tuan Anh Nguyen for the discussion.

Notes and references

1. K. Lyu, E. Y. Chow, X. Mou, T. F. Chan and C. K. Kwok, *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2021, **49**, 5426-5450.
2. A. Cammas and S. Millevoi, *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2017, **45**, 1584-1595.
3. C. K. Kwok and C. J. Merrick, *Trends Biotechnol*, 2017, **35**, 997-1013.
4. C. K. Kwok, G. Marsico and S. Balasubramanian, *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, 2018, **10**, a032284.
5. C. K. Kwok, G. Marsico, A. B. Sahakyan, V. S. Chambers and S. Balasubramanian, *Nat Methods*, 2016, **13**, 841-844.
6. J. Zhao, E. Y. Chow, P. Y. Yeung, Q. C. Zhang, T. F. Chan and C. K. Kwok, *BMC Biol*, 2022, **20**, 257.
7. J. U. Guo and D. P. Bartel, *Science*, 2016, **353**.
8. N. Kosiol, S. Juranek, P. Brossart, A. Heine and K. Paeschke, *Mol Cancer*, 2021, **20**, 40.
9. R. Simone, P. Fratta, S. Neidle, G. N. Parkinson and A. M. Isaacs, *FEBS Lett*, 2015, **589**, 1653-1668.
10. L. F. R. Gebert and I. J. MacRae, *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, 2019, **20**, 21-37.
11. D. P. Bartel, *Cell*, 2004, **116**, 281-297.
12. M. Ha and V. N. Kim, *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, 2014, **15**, 509-524.
13. S. Ghafouri-Fard, A. Abak, A. Baniahmad, B. M. Hussen, M. Taheri, E. Jamali and M. E. Dinger, *Cancer Cell Int*, 2022, **22**, 171.
14. M. Tassinari, S. N. Richter and P. Gandellini, *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2021, **49**, 3617-3633.
15. W. Tan, J. Zhou, J. Gu, M. Xu, X. Xu and G. Yuan, *Talanta*, 2016, **154**, 560-566.
16. W. Tan, L. Zhang, J. Zhou, H. Chen and G. Yuan, *Spectroscopy Letters*, 2017, **50**, 489-493.
17. W. Tan, L. Yi, Z. Zhu, L. Zhang, J. Zhou and G. Yuan, *Talanta*, 2018, **179**, 337-343.
18. K. L. Chan, B. Peng, M. I. Umar, C. Y. Chan, A. B. Sahakyan, M. T. N. Le and C. K. Kwok, *Chem Commun (Camb)*, 2018, **54**, 10878-10881.
19. Z. X. Chong, S. K. Yeap and W. Y. Ho, *Pathol Res Pract*, 2021, **220**, 153351.
20. J. Ni, S. Liang, B. Shan, W. Tian, H. Wang and Y. Ren, *Int J Mol Med*, 2020, **45**, 1753-1770.
21. J. D. Beaudoin, R. Jodoin and J. P. Perreault, *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2014, **42**, 1209-1223.
22. A. Bedrat, L. Lacroix and J. L. Mergny, *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2016, **44**, 1746-1759.
23. J. M. Garant, J. P. Perreault and M. S. Scott, *Bioinformatics*, 2017, **33**, 3532-3537.
24. K. Lyu, S. B. Chen, C. Y. Chan, J. H. Tan and C. K. Kwok, *Chem Sci*, 2019, **10**, 11095-11102.
25. S. G. Rouleau, J. M. Garant, F. Bolduc, M. Bisailon and J. P. Perreault, *RNA Biol*, 2018, **15**, 198-206.
26. G. Mirihana Arachchilage, A. C. Dassanayake and S. Basu, *Chem Biol*, 2015, **22**, 262-272.
27. C. K. Kwok, A. B. Sahakyan and S. Balasubramanian, *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*, 2016, **55**, 8958-8961.
28. A. Yett, L. Y. Lin, D. Beseiso, J. Miao and L. A. Yatsunyk, *J Porphy Phthalocyanines*, 2019, **23**, 1195-1215.