



香港城市大學
City University of Hong Kong

專業 創新 胸懷全球
Professional · Creative
For The World

CityU Scholars

Response to “Sunjaya AF, Sunjaya AP, "Pooled Testing for Expanding COVID-19 Mass Surveillance”

Chow, Wan Ki; Chow, Cheuk Lun

Published in:

Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness

Published: 01/06/2022

Document Version:

Final Published version, also known as Publisher’s PDF, Publisher’s Final version or Version of Record

License:

CC BY

Publication record in CityU Scholars:

[Go to record](#)

Published version (DOI):

[10.1017/dmp.2020.454](https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2020.454)

Publication details:

Chow, W. K., & Chow, C. L. (2022). Response to “Sunjaya AF, Sunjaya AP, "Pooled Testing for Expanding COVID-19 Mass Surveillance". *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, 16(3), 851.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2020.454>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on CityU Scholars is the Post-print version (also known as Accepted Author Manuscript, Peer-reviewed or Author Final version), it may differ from the Final Published version. When citing, ensure that you check and use the publisher’s definitive version for pagination and other details.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the CityU Scholars portal is retained by the author(s) and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. Users may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.

Publisher permission

Permission for previously published items are in accordance with publisher’s copyright policies sourced from the SHERPA RoMEO database. Links to full text versions (either Published or Post-print) are only available if corresponding publishers allow open access.

Take down policy

Contact lbscholars@cityu.edu.hk if you believe that this document breaches copyright and provide us with details. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Letter to the Editor

Cite this article: Chow WK and Chow CL (2022) Response to Sunjaya AF, Sunjaya AP, “Pooled Testing for Expanding COVID-19 Mass Surveillance”. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep* 16: 851. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2020.454>.

First published online: 19 November 2020

Keywords:

pool tests; mathematical support

Corresponding author:

Wan Ki Chow,
Email: elize.yeung.polyu@gmail.com.

Response to Sunjaya AF, Sunjaya AP, “Pooled Testing for Expanding COVID-19 Mass Surveillance”

Wan Ki Chow PhD JP FHKEng¹ and Cheuk Lun Chow PhD²

¹Department of Building Services Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China and

²Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

We read the above article¹ with great interest and would like to add a key point on the “optimal” pool size n in detecting severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). The maximum pooled size can be up to 64, as reported.¹ For an observed population infection rate θ tested earlier, testing a group size of m people waiting to be tested, with $m = 1/\theta$, is likely to have 1 positive detection result. The total number of tests L for this group of m people with a pooled size n can then be expressed by 2 terms:

- The number of tests with samples pooled m/n , and
- The additional tests n required on the pool having a positive detection.

$$L = m/n + n \quad (1)$$

The minimum value of L can be found by differentiating L w.r.t. n and setting $dL/dn = 0$, yielding $n = m^{1/2}$. The minimum value of L is thus $2n$.

Thus, for an observed infection rate of $\theta = 0.01$, $m = 100$. If people to be tested are divided into groups of 100, the optimal pooled size $n = \sqrt{100}$ or 10. The minimum value of L is only 20, instead of doing 100 tests for all individual samples.

For a large population, people can be grouped with pool size n given by $n = m^{1/2} = (1/\theta)^{1/2}$ or the nearest integer. Of course, the value of n has to be viable in terms of the detection tests. As the maximum value of pooled size¹ can be 64, m can be 4096. The minimum value of L is only 128 tests, instead of doing 4096 tests.

This gives an effective way to apply pooling tests with the pooled size determined by an earlier detection rate. Reducing the number of tests would use a smaller number of test kits and test a large number of people faster. This is important when the tests are a mandatory arrangement with the testing fee² paid by the government.

Conflict(s) of Interest. The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this paper.

References

1. Sunjaya AF, Sunjaya AP. Pooled testing for expanding COVID-19 mass surveillance. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep*. 2020; epub, <https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2020.246>.
2. Cheung J. Mandatory tests loom to avert fourth wave. *The Standard*. October 9, 2020. <https://www.thestandard.com.hk/section-news/section/11/223679/Mandatory-tests-loom-to-avert-fourth-wave>. Accessed October 22, 2020.

© Society for Disaster Medicine and Public Health, Inc. 2020. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

SDMPH
SOCIETY FOR DISASTER MEDICINE & PUBLIC HEALTH